Bioremediation efficiency and biochemical composition of *Ulva* reticulata Forsskål (Chlorophyta) cultivated in shrimp (*Penaeus* monodon) hatchery effluent

Rabiei, R.^{1,2, 3,} *; Phang, S. M.^{1,2}; Yeong, H. Y.²; Lim, P. E.^{1,2}; Ajdari, D.⁴; Zarshenas, G.⁴; Sohrabipour, J.^{1,2,3}

Received: November 2013

Accepted: April 2014

Abstract

The rapid growth of aquaculture is accompanied by increased discharge of nutrient-rich wastewaters into rivers and coastal waters; leading to eutrophication and deterioration of water quality. Seaweeds are suitable candidates to reduce dissolved inorganic nutrient concentration discharged through aquaculture effluent, and can improve water quality and allow for sustainable aquaculture. In this study the de-eutrophication ability of Ulva reticulata was investigated in a shrimp hatchery; in Kuala Selangor, Malaysia; by evaluating its ability to remove nutrients from shrimp brood stock effluent (SBE) in a batch culture system. The biofiltration ability of U. reticulata was confirmed by the significant reduction in nutrient concentrations during a 12 day period. The concentration of ammonical-nitrogen (NH₃-N) was reduced by 100 % (after 12 h), nitrite (NO₂-N) by 100 % (after 18 h), orthophosphate (PO₄-P) by 89 % (after 12 days) and nitrate (NO₃-N) by 33 % (after 12 days). An 18.5 % increase in biomass of the seaweed over the experimental period was also observed. The mean relative growth rate (RGR) of U. reticulata reached 1.6 ± 0.1 % d⁻¹. The U. reticulata grew well in SBE, producing protein (6.1 ±1.1 %) and carbohydrate (39.9 ±4.5 %). Carbohydrate (p < 0.05) and protein (p > 0.05) content in seaweed growing in SBE were higher than seawater. The results of this study indicate that U. reticulata can be used directly as an effective biofilter for nutrient removal from shrimp hatchery effluent.

Keywords: *Ulva reticulata*, Chlorophyta, Bioremediation, Water quality, Seaweed, Nutrient removal, Shrimp hatchery effluent

¹⁻Institute of Biological Sciences, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

²⁻ Institute of Ocean and Earth Sciences (IOES), University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

³⁻ Agriculture and Natural Resources Research Center of Hormozgan Province, Bandar Abbas, Iran

⁴⁻Iranian Fisheries Research Organization, Tehran, Iran

^{*}Corresponding author's email: r.rabiei6746@gmail.com

Introduction

Aquaculture systems release large amounts of nutrients into the marine ecosystem, in the form of excretory products and excess feed (Zhou et al., 2006; Rodrigueza and Montaño, 2007; Marinho-Soriano et al., Eutrophication 2009). is а general phenomenon in coastal waters, commonly attributed to the increase of shrimp, fish and shellfish aquaculture (Liu et al., 2010; Mao et al., 2005), resulting in an increase in harmful algal blooms and deterioration of water quality (Rodrigueza and Montaño, 2007; Marinho-Soriano et al., 2009; Huoet al., 2011). The major challenge in aquaculture industries has been to minimize the negative effects of nutrients in pond water and aquaculture effluents. A number of chemical, physical and biological methods applied to conventional waste water treatment have been used in aquaculture systems. Physical and chemical remediation technologies are very expensive systems to create and control (Ruenglertpanyakulet al., 2004). Bioremediation processes are more economical and based are on anaerobic/aerobic fermentation, microbial denitrification and biological removal of nitrogen and phosphorus through primary producers like microalgae, seaweeds and seagrasses. In developing tropical countries, microalgae have been used for treating aquaculture effluent, but seaweeds which are easier to harvest, also have the ability of nutrient uptake (Tsagkamiliset Seaweeds may al., 2010). play an important role in the control of eutrophication, improving water quality and enhancing sustainable aquaculture at low costs (Neori, 2008; Copertinoet al.,

2009; Huo*et al.*, 2011). Seaweeds can remove up to 90% of the nutrients discharged from an intensive fish farm (Shpigel and Neori, 2007). Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) has developed over the last decade. In IMTA systems, seaweeds can have many economic values (Copertino *et al.*, 2009; Figueroa *et al.*, 2009).

The green algae Ulva spp., due to their morphological characteristics (high ratio of surface area to volume) exhibit high tolerance and affinity for ammonium uptake from shrimp pond effluent (Copertino et al., 2009). Species of are considered as ideal biofiltering candidates because of their high rates of nutrient removal, high photosynthesis and high specific growth rate (Hernández, et al., 2002; Martínez-Aragón et al., 2002; Neori et al., 2003; Copertino et al., 2009; Tsagkamilis et al., 2010). Ulva species grow well in high nitrogen concentrations and produce large biomass, thus removing large amount of nutrients (Bolton et al., 2009). They were studied for production of feedstock for biofuels, food ingredients and fertilizers (Tsagkamilis et al., 2010; Ale et al., 2011). Ulva species can produce allelopathic substances and inhibit growth of epiphytes and phytoplankton; and are therefore effective in competing for nutrients and light with phytoplankton (Wang et al., 2001; Copertinoet al., 2009) and against pathogens (Lu et al., 2008). U. lactuca has been shown to be a good source of vitamins (A, B₂, B₁₂ and C); in addition to their antioxidant, antimicrobial and antiviral properties (Orttizet al., 2006; Abd El-Bakyet al., 2008; Ale et al., 2011). Species of Ulva, such as U. clathrata, have

high yields and growth rates under wide ranges of salinity, temperatureand pH; and with low water exchange and without inorganic carbon supplementation (Copertino*et al.*, 2009). *U. pertusa* can uptake dissolved organic nitrogen as urea and alanine directly without bacterial breakdown to inorganic nitrogen (Tarutani*et al.*, 2004).

In South African abalone farms, Ulva is abalone cultivated for feed. while simultaneously reducing ammonia and bacterial levels in the effluent (Flodin, 2005; Bolton et al., 2009). The removal efficiency of U. reticulata and U. pertusa for the uptake of dissolved inorganic nitrogen were reported to be higher than that of Gracilaria crassa. Chaetomorpha crassa. Eucheuma denticulatum. G.lemaneiformis, Underia pinnatifida and Hizikia fusiforme (Msuya and Neori, 2002; Liu et al., 2010).

In Malaysia, shrimp farming is the largest contributor of revenue generated by the aquaculture industry. However, the expanding aquaculture industry may result degradation in the of the coastal ecosystem. The cultivation of seaweeds in shrimp pond effluent may provide a potentially efficient system for bioremediation, while producing useful seaweed biomass for valuable products.

In this paper, we evaluated the nutrient removal ability of *U. reticulata*, cultured in a shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) hatchery effluent, using a batch culture system. The biochemical composition of the *U. reticulate* was also determined to assess the potential utilization of this seaweed biomass.

Materials and methods

Source of Ulva and maintenance of stocks The study was conducted in a shrimp hatchery using a batch culture system in an outdoor setting. The U. reticulata used in this study was collected from the seashore of Pinang, Malaysia, and transferred to a P.monodon (Tiger prawn) hatchery in Kuala Selangor (03°17'38" N, 101° 17' 8" E). For the acclimatization stage, U. reticulata stock was kept in several plastic tanks (200 L) containing filtered natural seawater, with continuous aeration and water exchange (30 %) every other day for three weeks until the start of the bioremediation experiments. During this time, the seaweeds were maintained free of epiphytes and acclimatized to shrimp hatchery conditions. Necrotic portions of were removed. the seaweeds After acclimatization, the healthiest thali of U. reticulata were selected and transferred to the treatment tanks with 150 L capacity (70 cm \times 105 cm \times 25 cm; W \times L \times D) and placed under a sheltered place, open on three sides, and roofed with transparent polycarbonate for sheets the bioremediation experiment.

Culture of U. reticulata in shrimp brood stock effluent (SBE)

In the hatchery, *P.monodon* brood stocks were cultured in a 3000 L cement pond for larvae production. About 70 shrimps, with an average weight of 150 g were maintained in the cement pond with a daily water change of 30 %. This discharge water was used for the experiments.

The experimental design for seaweed cultivation consisted of four treatments in triplicates. The treatments were: (i) tanks containing seawater (SW); (ii) tanks containing *U. reticulata* and seawater (SW+U); (iii) tanks containing shrimp brood stock effluent (SBE); (iv) and tanks containing *U. reticulata* and shrimp brood stock effluent (SBE+U). Twelve plastic tanks (4treatments×3replicates=12) with 150 L capacity were used. For treatments with seaweed, 450 g (3gL⁻¹) of fresh weight (FW) *U. reticulata* were placed in each tank. The tanks' contents were continuously aerated with air-pumps and air stones submerged in the water. This batch culture system was continued for 12 days.

Biomass and relative growth rate (RGR)

The biomass $(gL^{-1} FW)$ and relative growth rate (% d^{-1}) of U. reticulata were measured at six-day intervals for 12 days. The seaweed was completely removed from each tank, it was then spread and shaken in a plastic basket and allowed to drain the excess water for 15 min. The seaweeds weighed using were the BL610 $(\pm 0.01g)$ Sartorius balance. Relative growth rate (RGR) with reference to day 0 (RGR_i) and previous samples (RGR_{t-1}) were calculated using the following equations (Phang et al., 1996): $RGR_i (\% d^{-1}) = [(W_t - W_i)/(W_i^* \Delta t)] * 100$

Where W_i is the initial fresh weight, W_t is the fresh weight on day t, Δt is the time interval

 $\mathrm{RGR}_{t-1} \ (\% \ d^{-1}) = [(W_t - W_{t-1}) \ (W_{t-1}^* \Delta t)]^* 100$

Where W_t is the fresh weight on day t and W_{t-1} is the fresh weight on the previous sampling day, Δt is the time interval

Environmental and water physicochemical parameters

During the trial experiment, water physicochemical parameters and environmental variables were monitored every 48 h. The water physico-chemical parameters such as temperature (°C). conductivity (ms). salinity (‰), percentage dissolved oxygen (DO %) and pH were measured in situ in the morning (11 am) by a YSI (Model 85) multi-parameter probe. Irradiance was measured using the LI-COR (LI - 250A) light meter. The irradiance throughout the experiment was in the range of 50 to 109 umol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ with an average of 91.5 \pm 21.0 µmol photons m⁻²s⁻¹. Water samples for the determination of nutrient concentration were taken at 0, 2, 4, 6, 12, 18, 24, 48(2nd day), 72(3th day), 144(6th day), $216(9^{th} day)$, $288(12^{th} day)$ hours after the start of the experiment. Water samples were stored in polyethylene bottles and transported in the ice chest (5-10°C) to the laboratory for analysis. The concentration of ammonical-nitrogen (NH₃-N) was measured by the salicylate method, nitrite (NO₂-N) by diazotization, nitrate (NO₃-N) by cadmium reduction, orthophosphate (PO₄-P) by the ascorbic acid method, and chemical oxygen demand (COD) by the reactor digestion method Spectrophotometer using the HACH (Model DR/ 2500) (Clesceri et al., 1998). The C:N:P ratio of the SBE was calculated according to Phang and Ong, 1988. The other parameters; such as total suspended solids (TSS), total solids (TS), total volatile solids (TVS)and total dissolved solids (TDS); were measured in the laboratory various analytical using

methods according to the standard methods for examination of water and wastewater (Clesceri*et al.*, 1998)

Removal efficiency (RE %) of nutrients

Percentage removal efficiency (RE %) of nutrients in each tank was calculated by subtracting the initial (inflow) and final (outflow) concentration of nutrients during sampling times as:

RE % = [(*Inflow* - *Outflow*)/ *Inflow*] ×100 Where: *Inflow* = nutrient concentration in each tank at the start of experiment (mg L⁻¹)

Outflow = nutrient concentration in each tank water at the time of sampling (mg L⁻¹) The nutrient removal of *U. reticulata* in the treatments containing seaweed (SBE+*U* and SW+*U*) was estimated by subtracting the removal efficiency of the nutrients in its control tanks (SBE and SW) at the same times.

Biochemical composition of U. reticulata

For the biochemical compositions assay, seaweed samples were taken from the treatments and control tanks, cleaned by washing several times in fresh water, blotdried and weighed. The fresh samples were used for biochemical analyses.

Protein (Bradford, 1976), carbohydrate (Dubois, 1956), chlorophyll *a*, carotenoids and phycobiliproteins (Lim *et al.*, 2010) were determined on the first day (day 0) and the last day (day 12) of the experiment. The carbohydrate and protein content of *U. reticulata* were analyzed and expressed in percentage dry weight (% DW). Ash content was calculated from the weight remaining after the incineration of

dry matter for 6h at 550 °C in the muffle furnace (Phang*et al.*, 1996).

Statistical analyses

Normal distribution of the data was tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. A factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze the influence of time (hour) and treatment on the concentration and removal efficiency of nutrients by *U*. *reticulata*. Tukey's-HSD comparisons were applied to determine statistically significant differences (p<0.05) among time and treatment following ANOVA.

One-way ANOVA was used for the analysis of physico-chemical parameters and solid contents in different treatments. Independent sample t-tests were utilized to determine the effect of treatment on the biochemical composition of *U. reticulata* at the end of the experiment. A significance level of 95 % (p<0.05) was set for all the tests. The statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS software, version 15 (SPSS Inc., USA).

Results

Biomass and relative growth rate of U. reticulata

The biomass (gL⁻¹ FW) of *U. reticulata* and percentage increase of biomass (% Δ W) in the treatments of SBE+U and SW+U over time is shown in Fig1. ANOVA analysis showed a significant increase in the biomass of *U. reticulata* (*p*<0.05) in SBE+U and SW+U treatments on day 12. After 12 days the average biomass in treatment SBE+U (3.6±0.1 g L⁻¹)

¹FW) was higher than SW+U (3.3 \pm 0.1 g L⁻¹ FW) but it was not statistically





Figure 1:Biomass of *U. reticulata* (g L⁻¹ FW) (histogram), and percentage increase of biomass (% Δ W)(Line graph) vs. day during 12 days in shrimp brood stock effluent (SBE) and seawater (SW); Error bars are standard deviation.

The relative growth rate of *U. reticulata*, calculated as RGR_i and RGR_{t-1},are shown in Fig 2. After 12 days the average RGR_iin treatment SBE+U $(1.5\pm0.1\% d^{-1})$ was significantly (*p*<0.05) higher than SW+U

 $(0.9\pm0.3\% d^{-1})$. The average RGR_{t-1}from day 6 to 12 in treatment SBE+U (2.7\pm0.1 %d^{-1}) was significantly (*p*<0.05) higher than treatment SW+U (1.0\pm0.1%d^{-1}) (Fig.2).





Water quality

The characteristics of the shrimp brood stock effluent (SBE) in the experiment are shown in Table 1. The C:N:P ratio of the SBE was 300:4:1.

Physico-chemical characteristics of water from different treatments on day 0 and 12 are shown in Table2. Water temperature in tanks without seaweed (SW and SBE) were significantly (p<0.05) higher than tanks with seaweed (Table 2). On average, pH values in tanks with Ulva were significantly (p<0.05) higher than the values found in tanks without *Ulva*.

measured at the start of experiment.								
Parameter	Concentration							
NH ₃ -N (mg L ⁻¹)	1.00 ± 0.01							
NO ₃ -N (mg L ⁻¹)	0.40 ± 0.01							
NO ₂ -N (mg L ⁻¹)	0.13 ± 0.01							
PO_4 -P (mg L ⁻¹)	0.40 ± 0.01							
C.O.D. (mg L ⁻¹)	320 ± 28							
Salinity (‰)	26 ± 0.2							
pH	8.0 ± 0.1							
TS (mg L ⁻¹)	29046 ± 3146							
TSS (mg L^{-1})	142 ± 4							
TVS (mg L ⁻¹)	5974 ± 65							
TDS (mg L ⁻¹)	28904 ± 3104							
Total carbon (mg L^{-1}) =(COD × 12/32)	120							
Total nitrogen (mg L^{-1}) =(NH ₃ -N + NO ₃ -N + NO ₂ -N)	1.53							
C: N: P	300:4:1							

Table 1: Some parameters of the shrimp brood stock effluentmeasured at the start of experiment.

TS, Total Solids; TSS, Total Suspended Solids; TVS, Total Volatile Solids; TDS, Total Dissolved Solids; COD, Chemical Oxygen Demand.

Table 2: Physico-Chemical characteristics (mean ± SD) of the water in tanks with Ulva and without Ulva(Control) on day 0 and 12.

Treatment	Temperature (°C)		pH DO (%)		Conductivit		ity (ms) Salinity(‰)		60)	
	Day 0	Day 12	Day 0	Day 12	Day 0	Day 12	Day 0	Day 12	Day 0	Day 12
SBE+U	25.5±0.1	24.0±0.0	7.8±0.1	8.7±0.3	74.4±1.7	83.2±5.9	38.9±0.1	41.1±0.2	27.5±0.1	27.9±0.2
SBE	26.7±1.0	25.4±1.0	8.0 ± 0.0	8.3±0.0	69.2±0.1	91.8±0.1	39.3±0.1	39.9±0.1	25.8±0.0	27.1±0.0
SW+U	25.4±0.2	24.1±0.3	8.2 ± 0.0	8.8±0.1	76.6±3.5	85.7±5.4	38.9±0.1	41.5±0.1	25.8±0.2	27.3±0.4
SW	26.5±1.0	25.2±1.0	8.1±0.0	8.4±0.0	71±0.1	76±0.1	41.8±0.1	42.6±0.1	27.6±0.0	27.9±0.0

SBE+U, Shrimp brood stock effluent with *Ulva*; SBE, Shrimp brood stock effluent; SW+U, Seawater with *Ulva*; SW, Seawater .

Nutrient removal efficiency

Table 3 gives the nutrients' (NH₃-N, NO₃-N, NO₂-N, PO₄-P) concentration (mgL⁻¹, mean±SD) in the treatments of SBE+U, SBE. SW SW+U and during the experiment period and the nutrient removal efficiency (RE %) of U. reticulata on the 12th day. In general the presence of U. reticulata in SBE+U and SW+U,

resulted in a significant (p<0.01) decrease in all nutrients' concentration on the 12th day compared to the control tanks (Table 3). The Tukey-HSD test (p<0.01) indicated that treatment, time (hour) and the interaction between treatment and time, had a significant (p<0.01) effect on concentration of NH₃-N, NO₃-N, NO₂-N and PO₄-P during the culture period.

 Table 3: Concentration (mean ± SD) of nutrients (mgL⁻¹) during the culture period and removal efficiency (%RE) between the start of experiment and day 12 in different treatments.

Treatment	Time (hour)												
	0	2	4	6	12	18	24	48	72	144	216	288	
SBE+U	1.0 ±0.0*	0.4 ±0.1*	0.2 ±0.1*	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0 ±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	
SBE	1.0±0.0*	1.0 ±0.0*	0.8 ±0.0*	0.7 ±0.0*	1.0 ±0.0*	1.0 ±0.0*	1.0 ±0.0*	0.9 ±0.0*	0.8 ±0.0*	0.8±0.0*	0.8±0.0*	0.7 ±0.1*	
SW+L/	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0 ±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0 ±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	
SW	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0 ±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	
SBE+U	0.5±0.0*	0.4±0.1**	0.4 ±0.1*	0.4 ±0.0**	0.4 ±0.0**	0.4 ±0.1**	0.4 ±0.1**	0.4±0.05*	0.4±0.1**	0.5±0.1*	0.3±0.0**	0.3 ±0.0*	
SBE	0.4 ±0.0*	0.6±0.0*	0.6±0.0*	0.6±0.0**	0.6±0.0**	0.7 ±0.0**	0.7 ±0.0*	0.8±0.0*	0.8 ±0.0*	1.0 ±0.0*	1.6 ±0.0*	1.9 ±0.0*	
SW+C	0.4±0.0*	0.3 ±0.1*	0.3 ±0.1*	0.3 ±0.0**	0.3 ±0.1**	0.3 ±0.1**	0.3 ±0.1*	0.3 ±0.0*	0.3±0.0*	0.3±0.0*	0.3 ±0.1*	0.4±0.0**	
SW	0.5±0.0*	0.3 ±0.0*	0.3 ±0.0*	0.4 ±0.0*	0.5 ±0.0*	0.5 ±0.0*	0.6 ±0.0*	0.6±0.0*	0.5 ±0.0*	0.4 ±0.0*	0.5 ±0.0*	0.5 ±0.0*	
SBE+C	0.0 97 ±0.0*	0.015 ±0.0*	0.012 ±0.0*	0.005 ±0.0*	0.001±0.0=	0.003 ±0.0*	0.000±0.0*	0.001±0.0*	0.001±0.0**	0.001 ±0.0=	0.001 ±0.0**	0.001 ±0.0*	
SBE	0.130 ±0.0*	0.134 ±0.0*	0.135 ±0.0*	0.137 ±0.0	0.140 ±0.0*	0.140 ±0.0*	0.140 ±0.0*	0.170±0.0*	0.190 ±0.0*	0.260 ±0.00*	0.640 ±0.0*	0.63 0.0*	
SW+c/	0.020 ±0.0*	0.005 ±0.0*	0.004 ±0.0*	0.003 ±0.0*	0.002 ±0.0*	0.001±0.0=	0.000 ±0.0*	0.001±0.0**	0.001±0.0**	0.001 ±0.0**	0.001 ±0.0**	0.001 ±0.0*	
\$W	0.050 ±0.0*	0.045 ±0.0*	0.049 ±0.0	0.053 ±0.0*	0.056 ±0.0*	0.060 ±0.0*	0.062 ±0.0*	0.065 ±0.0*	0.070 ±0.0*	0.076±0.0*	0.050 ±0.0*	0.090±0.0*	
SBE+C	0.5±0.0*	0.4 ±0.1**	0.3 ±0.1™	0.1 ±0.0**	0.1±0.0 *	0.1 ±0.0*	0.1 ±0.0*	0.1 ±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0 ±0.0*	0.0 ±0.2*	
SBE	0.4 ±0.0*	0.3 ±0.0*	0.3 ±0.0*	0.2 ±0.0*	0.4±0.0*	0.4 ±0.0*	0.5 ±0.0*	0.3 ±0.0*	0.3±0.0*	0.3 ±0.0*	0.3 ±0.0*	0.6 ±0.0*	
SW+C	0.1 ±0.0*	0.1 ±0.0*	0.1 ±0.0*	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0**	0.0 ±0.0**	0.0±0.0*	0.0±0.0 *	0.03 ±0.0*	0.1 ±0.0*	0.1 ±0.0*	0.1 ±0.1*	
\$W	0.1 ±0.0*	0.1 ±0.0*	0.1 ±0.0*	0.1 ±0.0*	0.1 ±0.0*	0.1±0.0*	0.1 ±0.0*	0.1 ±0.0*	0.05 ±0.0*	0.10 ±0.0*	0.1 ±0.0*	0.1 ±0.0*	

Values in any one row not followed by the same superscript letters are significantly different at *P*<0.05; nd, not detectable; Time of sampling based on hour after start of experiment; SBE+U, Shrimp brood stock effluent with *Ulva*; SBE, Shrimp brood stock effluent without *Ulva*; SW+U, Seawater with *Ulva*; SW, Seawater without *Ulva*.

The ammonical-nitrogen concentration was non-detectable in all treatments except for SBE after day 12(Table3). The variation in NH₃-N concentration (mgL⁻¹) and removal efficiency (RE %) in treatments with seaweeds (SBE+U, SW+E) over time is presented in Figs.3a, 3b.



Figure 3: Ammonical-nitrogen concentration (line graph; mg L⁻¹) and the removal efficiency (histogram; RE%) of *U. reticulata* in different treatments during 288 hours; a) Shrimp brood stock effluent without *Ulva* (SBE) and Shrimp brood stock effluent with *Ulva*(SBE+U); b) Seawater without *Ulva* (SW) and Seawater with *Ulva* (SW+U); Values presented as average (n=3); Error bars represent standard deviation.

NH₃-N removal by *Ulva* in the treatment of SBE+U increased by 60 % after two hours and by 100 % after 12 hours which was significantly higher (p<0.01) than the removal in the SBE control (Fig. 3a). In seawater tanks containing *Ulva*(SW+U), the NH₃-N concentration was very low and reduced by 40 % after two hours, and was undetectable in both SW and SW+U after 12 hours (Fig. 3b). Nitrate (NO_3-N) concentration and removal efficiency (RE %) fluctuated under various treatments and time of experiments (Figs. 4b). 4a, Nitrate regeneration in treatments of SBE, SW and sometimes in SBE+U observed during the culture period which were significantly (p < 0.01) different under various treatments and time of experiments (Table 3, Figs. 4a, 4b). At the final day, significant (p < 0.05)

maximal decrease in the concentration of nitrate were determined in the tanks containing seaweed (SBE+U, SW+U). Nitrate concentration in SW+U treatment was measured less than treatment without seaweed (SW =control). Nitrate removal increased over the culture period in both SBE+U and SW+U, indicating the adaptation of the seaweed. The maximum nitrate removal in SBE+U was determined to be 33 % (12^{th} day) and 33.3 % (2^{nd} day) in SW+U (Figs.4a, 4b).



Figure 4: Nitrate concentration (line graph; mg L⁻¹) and removal efficiency (histogram; RE%) of U. *reticulata* in different treatments during 288 hours; a) Shrimp brood stock effluent without Ulva (SBE) and Shrimp brood stock effluent with Ulva(SBE+U); b) Seawater without Ulva (SW) and Seawater with Ulva (SW+U); Values presented as average (n=3); Error bars represent standard deviation.

Nitrite concentration in treatment with Ulva (SBE+U, SW+U) significantly (p<0.01) decreased with time over the period of the study. In fact, nitrite concentration in control tanks increased

for both SBE and SW on the 12th day. The maximum nitrite removal was 100 % in SBE+U and 99 % for SW+U after 18 and 24 hours, respectively (Table 3, Figs. 5a, 5b).



Figure 5: Nitrite concentration (line graph; mg L⁻¹) and removal efficiency (histogram; RE%) of *U. reticulata* in different treatments during 288 hours; a) Shrimp brood stock effluent without *Ulva* (SBE) and Shrimp brood stock effluent with *Ulva*(SBE+U); b) Seawater without *Ulva* (SW) and Seawater with *Ulva* (SW+U); Values presented as average (n=3); Error bars represent standard deviation.

Orthophosphate concentration in SBE+U, SBE, SW+U and SW treatments changed from 0.50 to 0.05, 0.39 to 0.62, 0.09 to 0.11 and 0.12 to 0.10 mg L⁻¹after 12 days, respectively. Tukey-HSD analysis showed that orthophosphate concentration in tanks

with seaweed were significantly (p < 0.01) lower than tanks without seaweed (Table 3). *U. reticulata* in SBE+U treatment showed greater removal efficiency (89 %) for orthophosphate compared to SW+U (35 %) (Table 3 and Figs. 6a, 6b).



Figure 6: Phosphate concentration (line graph; mg L⁻¹) and removal efficiency (histogram; RE%) of U. *reticulata* in different treatments during 288 hours; a) Shrimp brood stock effluent without Ulva (SBE) and Shrimp brood stock effluent with Ulva(SBE+U); b) Seawater without Ulva (SW) and Seawater with Ulva (SW+U); Values presented as average (n=3); Error bars represent standard deviation.

Biochemical Composition

The protein content (%DW) of *U.* reticulata had decreased in both SBE+U (from 8.3 \pm 0.3 to 6.1 \pm 1.1) and SW+U (from 8.2 \pm 0.3 to 4.2 \pm 0.4) treatments. The carbohydrate content also showed a decreasing trend in SBE+U (from 42.3 \pm 3.2 to 39.9 \pm 4.5) and SW+U (42.1 \pm 3.0 to 39.4 \pm 4.6 % DW) after 12 days (Table 4). The chlorophyll *a* and carotenoid content of *U. reticulata* both increased in SBE+U from 0.23 ±0.05 to 0.25 ±0.05 mg g⁻¹ and 122 ±42 to 136 ±14 µg g⁻¹ at the end of experiment, respectively. While in treatment SW+U, the chlorophyll *a* and carotenoid content decreased from 0.23 ±0.10 to 0.14±0.02 mg g⁻¹ and 121 ±42 to 100±9 µg g⁻¹, respectively. At day 12, the chlorophyll *a* and carotenoid content of *U*. reticulata in SBE+U were significantly

(p < 0.05) higher than SW+U (Table 4).

Courses	Dov	Treatment		- T	
Source	Day	SBE+U	SW+U		
Biomass $(a FW tank^{-1})$	0	450±0	450±0	0.0 ns	
Biomass (g I'w tank)	12	523±17	511±34	0.6 ns	
Protoin $(0/\mathbf{DW})$	0	8.3±0.3	8.2±0.3	0.0 ns	
Fiotenii (% DW)	12	6.1±1.1	4.2 ± 0.4	2.7 *	
Corbohydrata (0) DW	0	42.3±3.2	42.1±3.0	0.0 ns	
Carbonydrate (% Dw)	12	39.9±4.5	39.4±4.6	0.1 ns	
A = h(0/1)	0	24.9±0.8	24.9 ± 0.8	0.0 ns	
ASII (%)	12	23.8±1.2	23.2±0.2	0.8 ns	
Chlorophyll o (mg g ⁻¹)	0	0.23 ± 0.05	0.23 ± 0.10	0.0 ns	
Chiorophyn a (ing g ⁻)	12	0.25 ± 0.05	$0.14{\pm}0.02$	3.3 *	
	0	122±42	121±42	0.0 ns	
Carolenoid (µg g ⁻)	12	136±14	100±9	3.5*	

Table 4: Biomass (FW) and chemical composition of *U. reticulatein* two treatments (SBE+U, SW+U) and day (0 & 12).

* significant difference at *p*<0.05; ns, not significant; SBE+U, Shrimp brood stock effluent with *Ulva*; SW+U, Seawater with *Ulva*; T, Independent sample t-test.

Mean ash content of *U. reticulata* growing in SBE (23.8 \pm 1.2 % DW) did not show a significant (*p*>0.05) difference to SW+U (23.2 \pm 0.2 % DW) (Table 4).

Discussion

Growth of Ulvareticulata

The mean relative growth rate (RGR_i =1.6 ± 0.1 , RGR_{t-1}=2.7 ± 0.1 %d⁻¹) of *U*. *reticulata* in SBE tanks are comparable to the values previously reported for *U*. *reticulata*(1.2%d⁻¹)in fishpond effluent (Msuya and Neori, 2002), *U*. *clathrata*(1–3%d⁻¹) under static and flow regime in tank cultivation (Copertino*et al.*, 2009), *U*. *reticulata* (5–8 % d⁻¹) in finfish effluent (Mwandya*et al.*, 2001). Studies have shown that the daily growth rate of *Ulva*

species can be different based on species, growth conditions and culture duration (Neori*et al.*, 2004).

In this experiment, the U. reticulata was found to be able to uptake nutrients from SBE which resulted in an 18.5% increase in biomass, which was higher than that of the control tanks (11.3 %). Figueroa et al. (2009) reported the same biomass for U. high and low lactuca in nutrient concentration, but G.tenuistipitata in coculture with rainbow trout (Oncorhynchusmykiss) showed better growth than mono-culture (Zhou et al., 2006).

The low growth rate of *U. reticulata* in seawater in the present study could be attributed to culture conditions such as low nutrient concentration. The depigmentation of *U. reticulata* in control tanks (seawater) can be another reason for the low growth rate. In tropical waters nitrogen and phosphorus levels enhancement and water movement can prevent seaweed bleaching by reducing the light intensity and temperature effects (Santelices, 1987). Figueroa *et al.* (2009) showed that a higher

nutrient level can increase pigment content and photosynthesis in *U. lactuca*. This is probably why the *U. reticulata* had reduced pigment content in the seawater compared with the SBE treatment.

Removal efficiencies of nutrients

Seaweeds have been proposed as ideal nitrogen biofilters for sources in aquaculture effluents (Marinho-Soriano et al., 2009). In general, few studies have focused on phosphate uptake by seaweeds (Jones et al., 2001; Kang et al., 2011). In this study, we evaluated the biofiltering efficiency of U. reticulata for nitrogen and phosphate sources. Nutrient reduction in U. reticulata tanks were mainly related to biofiltering effects of seaweed and to a lower degree due to the uptake of nutrients by microphytes, especially cyanobacteria (Mwandyaet al., 2001).In this study, U. reticulata in SBE tanks exhibited the highest rate of NH₃-N uptake (100 %); followed by Nitrite (98.6 %), phosphate (89.4 %) and nitrate (33.3 %). The slight decrease in NH₃-N concentration in the control tanks (without U. reticulata) may be related to a diurnal cycle of nutrient loss.

The Results of our study are similar to Seema and Jayashankar, (2005) who reported 94 % NH₃-N removal efficiency for *U. reticulata* in shrimp pond effluent and 97.7 % for *U. rotundatan* seabass tanks (Martinez-Aragón *et al.*, 2002). Chung *et al.*, (2002) reported, 40–90 % of NH₄⁺ removal efficiency for *U. lactuca* and 76 % for *U. rigida* from fish waste water. *U. lactucac* ultivated in effluents of fish pond could efficiently remove 85–90 % of total ammonia-nitrogen (Neori*et al.*, 2003). Similar results have been reported for *U. pertosa* Gracilaria, which exhibited higher NH_4^+ uptake than NO_3 and NO_2 (Kang *et al.*, 2011). Mwandya*et al.*, (2001) reported 63 % removal efficiency for ammonia, 58 % for phosphate and 54 % for nitrate by *U. reticulata* cultivated in finfish effluent.

Seaweeds are noted to have the greatest preference for NH₃-N uptake compared to other nutrients (Buschmann and Varela, 2008). Most seaweed species showed that uptake rates of NH_4^+ exceeded those of NO_3 under natural environmental conditions because NH_4^+ can be directly incorporated into the composition of amino acids (Ahn*et al.*, 1998; Shpigel and Neori, 2007).

In this experiment the average removal efficiencies of U. reticulata for nitrite, phosphate and nitrate in shrimp effluent and batch culture system were 98.6 %, 89.4 % and 33.3 %, respectively. Seema and Jayashankar, (2005) reported removal efficiencies of 22% for nitrite, 5% for nitrate and 45% for total nitrogen by U. reticulata grown in shrimp effluent. U. pertusa showed a 54% removal efficiency for dissolved inorganic nitrogen, 22% for NO₃+NO₂ and 36.6 % for phosphate in fish effluent (Kang et al., 2011). U. showed higher clatrata а removal efficiency for total ammonical-nitrogen (70-82%) than for phosphate (50%)(Copertino et al., 2009).

In the present study *U. reticulata* showed faster and more efficient removal (RE%) for NH₃-N (100%) after 12 hours, followed by NO₂ (100%) after 18 h and NO₃ (33%) after 12 days. The ability to

remove NO₂ and NO₃ increased with time, showing an adaptation to the presence of these nitrogen sources.

The high affinity of *U. reticulata* for NH₃-N and NO₂-N uptake can be a useful strategy for gaining large amounts of nitrogen. NH₄⁺ is the most reduced form of inorganic nitrogen, therefore, from an energetic point of view it is the most useful nitrogen source for seaweed growth (Marinho-soriano *et al.*, 2009). In general, seaweed has shown a high affinity for the uptake of nitrogen sources, as well as storing them for growth during nitrogen starvation periods (Abreu *et al.*, 2011).

In this study, a removal efficiency of 89.4% for PO₄-P was measured in SBE +U after 12 days. Studies have shown that phosphate can be taken up efficiently in integrated fish-Ulva cultivation systems. Kang et al. (2011) reported 38.1%, 30.6 % and 20.2% phosphate uptake for Gracilariopsis chorda, U. pertusa and respectively. Saccharina japonica, Tsagkamilis et al., (2010) found U. lactuca reduced phosphate content by about 50 % in sewage effluent. Martinez-Aragón et al., (2002) found that U. rotundata removed nearly 99.6% of phosphate at low flow rates in fish tanks.

Biochemical composition

The results of this study showed that at the end of the experiment the protein content in *Ulva* cultured in SBE ($6.09\pm1.1\%$ DW) was significantly (p<0.05) higher than samples grown in seawater ($4.20\pm0.37\%$ DW). Manivannan *et al.* (2008) reported 3.25% (DW) of protein content for *U. lactuca.* High protein content in *U. reticulata* cultured in SBE showed that the

seaweed can uptake and store excess nitrogen in protein form. Msuya and Neori (2008) reported that the protein content of *U. lactuca* significantly increased with increasing nutrient loading levels. The protein content of *U. reticulata* cultivated in fish effluent could increase up to 26 % (Msuya and Neori, 2002).

In this study, on the final day the carbohydrate content in *U. reticulata* cultured in SBE ($39.9\pm4.5\%$ DW) was higher than SW+U ($39.4\pm4.6\%$ DW) but was not significant (p>0.05). Carbohydrate content varies with the nutritional state of the cells (Msuya and Neori, 2002). Shanmugam and Palpandi (2008) reported 50.2% DW carbohydrate in *U. reticulata*. Manivannan*et al.*, (2008) reported a mean carbohydrate of 23 % for *U. lactuca*.

U. reticulata cultivated in SBE tanks became darker in colour than the ones in seawater tanks. The darker colour of thalli in SBE may be due to the high nutrient concentration in SBE tanks. Chlorophyll *a* in *U. lactuca* grown in high nutrient supply was reported to be higher than those in low nutrient supply (Fiquaroa *et al.*, 2009). The nitrogen supply in medium culture can influence pigment content, protein and carbon uptake in many species of seaweeds (Cómez-Pinchetti*et al.*, 1998; Fiquaroa *et al.*, 2009).

Ulva reticulata was shown to grow well in shrimp effluent without dilution. The seaweed grown in SBE had a higher relative growth rate than that of the seawater treatment. The biomass had relatively high protein (6.1% DW) and carbohydrate (39.9% DW) content. Our study showed that *U. reticulata* is a good biofilter for removing nutrients in shrimp brood stock effluent, especially for ammonical-nitrogen, nitrite and phosphate after one day of culture.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mohammad-JavadHessami for his help with data collection in this experiment. We would also like to acknowledge the assistance of Ms Low Geok Hong and the JW Aquaculture Private Ltd. for the use of their hatchery. This study was supported by: National Oceanography Directorate, Malaysia (R&D: 01/013, Grant No. 53-02-03-1024), University of Malaya Postgraduate Research Grant (PPPT) PV 032/2011B. PS302-2009B. the E-Science Fund 02-01-03-SF0148 (Grant No: 12-02-03-2028) and the Department Of Fisheries Malaysia (Grant No. 53-02-03-1061).

References

- Abd El-Baky, H.H., El-Baz, F.K. and El-Baroty, G.S., 2008.Evaluation of marine alga *Ulva lactuca* L. as a source of natural preservative ingredient. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Sciences*,3(3), 434–444.
- Abreu, M.H., Pereira, R., Yarish, C., Buschmann, A.H. and Sousa-Pinto,
 I., 2011.IMTA with *Gracilaria vermiculophylla*: productivity and nutrient removal performance of the seaweed in a land-based pilot scale system. *Aquaculture*,312, 77–87.
- Ahn, O., Petrell, R.J. and Harrison, P.J.,1998. Ammonium and nitrate uptake by

Laminaria saccharina and Nereocystis luetkeana originating from a salmon sea cage farm. Journal of Applied Phycology,10, 333–340.

- Ale, M.T., Mikkelsen, J.D. and Meyer, A.S., 2011.Different growth response of *Ulva lactuca* to ammonium and nitrate assimilation.*Journal of Applied Phycology*, 23, 345–35.
- Bolton, J.J., Robertson-Andersson,
 D.V., Shuuluka, D. and Kandjengo,
 L., 2009.Growing Ulva (Chlorophyta)
 in integrated systems as a commercial
 crop for abalone feed in South Africa:
 SWOT analysis. Journal of Applied
 Phycology, 21,575–583.
- **Bradford, M.M., 1976**. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 72, 248–254.
- Buschmann, A.H. and Varela, D.A., 2008. Opportunities and challenges for the development of an integrated seaweed-based aquaculture activity in Chile: determining the physiological capabilities of *Macrocystis* and *Gracilaria* as biofilters. *Journal of Applied Phycology*,20, 571–577.
- Chung, I.K., Kang, Y.H., Yarish, C.,
 Kreamer, G.P. and Lee, J.A.,
 2002.Application of seaweed cultivation to the bioremediation of nutrient-rich effluent. *Algae*, 17, 1–10.
- Clesceri, L.S., Greenberg, A.E. and Eaton, A.D., 1998.Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20th edition. American

Public Health Association, Washington DC. 541P.

- Cómez- pinchetti, J.L., Del-Campo Ferndndaz, E., Moreno, P. and García Reina, G., 1998. Nitrogen availability influences the biochemical composition and photosynthesis of tank cultivated *Ulva rigida* (Chlorophyta). *Journal of Applied Phycology*,10, 383– 389.
- Copertino, M.S., Tormena, T. and Seeliger, U., 2009. Biofiltering efficiency, uptake assimilation rates of *Ulva clathrata* (Roth) J. Agardh (Chlorophyceae) cultivated in shrimp aquaculture waste water. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 21, 31–45.
- Dubois, M., Gilles, K.A., Hamilton, J.K., Rebers, P.A. and Smith F., 1956.Colorimetric method for determination of sugar and related substances. *Analytical Chemistry*, 28, 350–356.
- Figueroa, F.L., Israel, A., Neori, A., Martínez, B., Malta, E.J., Ang, P.O., Inken, S., Marquardt, R. and Korbee, N., 2009. Effects of nutrient supply on photosynthesis and pigmentation in Ulva lactuca (Chlorophyta): responses to short-term stress. Aquatic Biology, 7, 173–183.
- Flodin, J.M.T., 2005. Flodin, J.M.T.,
 2005. Bacterial water quality in South African abalone (*Haliotis midae*) tank culture: effects on bacterial levels from seaweed integration and feed choice.
 MFC Dissertation. Stockholm University, Stockholm. MFC Dissertation. Stockholm university, stockholm.

- Huo, Y.Z., Xu, S.N., Wang, Y.Y., Zang,
 J.H., Zhang, Y.J. and Wu, W.N.,
 2011.Bioremediation efficiencies of *Gracilaria verrucosa* cultivated in an enclosed sea area of Hangzhou Bay,
 China.*Journal of Applied Phycology*, 23, 173–182.
- A.B., Jones. Dennison, W.C. and Preston. N.P., 2001. Integrated shrimp effluent treatment of bv sedimentation, oyster filtration and macroalgal absorption: a laboratory scale study. Aquaculture, 193, 155-178.
- Kang, Y.H., Park, S.R. and Chung, I.K., 2011. Biofiltration efficiency and biochemical composition of three seaweed species cultivated in a fishseaweed integrated culture. *Algae*, 26 (1), 97–108.
- Lim, S.L., Chu, W.L. and Phang, S.M., 2010. Use of *Chlorella vulgaris* for bioremediation of textile wastewater. *Bioresource Technology*, 101,7314– 7322.
- Liu, J., Wang, Z. and Wei, L., 2010. Deeutrofication of effluent wastewater from fish aquaculture by using marine green alga *Ulva pertusa.Chines Journal* of Oceanology and Limnology.28, 201– 208.
- Lu, K.G., Lin, W. and Liu, J.G., 2008. The characteristics of nutrient removal and inhibitory effect of Ulvaclatrata on Vibrio anguillarum 65. Journal of Applied Phycology, 20(6), 1061–1068.
- Manivannan, K., Thirumaran, G., Devi, G.K., Hemalatha, A. and Anantharaman P., 2008.biochemical composition of seaweeds from

Mandapam Costal regions along Southeast Coast of India. *American-Eurasian Journal of Botany*, 1(**2**), 32– 37.

- Mao, Y.Z., Yang H.S. and Wang R.C., **2005**. Bioremediation capability of integrated large-sized seaweed in mariculture ecosystem: Α review. Journal of Fishery Sciences of China.12(2), 225-231.
- Marinho- Soriano, E., Nunes, S.O., Carneiro.M.A.A. and Pereira, D.C., 2009.Nutrients' removal from aquaculture wastewater using the macroalgae *Gracilaria birdiae*. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 33, 327–331.
- Martínez-Aragón, J.F., Hernández, I.,
 Pérez-Lloréns, J.L., Vázquez, R. andVergara, J.J., 2002.Biofiltering efficiency in removal of dissolved nutrients by three species of estuarine macroalgae cultivated with sea bass (*Dicentrarchu slabrax*) waste waters 1.
 Phosphate.Journal of Applied Phycology, 14, 365–374.
- Msuya, F.E. and Neori, A., 2002. Ulva reticulata and Gracilaria crassa: Macroalgae that can biofilter effluent tidal fishponds in Tanzania. Western Indian Ocean Journal of Marine Science, 1(2), 117–126.
- Msuya, F.E. and Neori, A., 2008. Effect of water aeration and nutrient load level on biomass yield, N uptake and protein content of the seaweed *Ulval actuca* cultured in seawater tanks.*Journal of Applied Phycology*,20, 1021–1031.
- Mwandya, A.W., Mtolera, M., Pratap, H. B. and Jiddawi, N.S., 2001.Macroalgae as biofilters of

dissolved inorganic nutrients in an integrated mariculture tank system in In: Marine Zanzibar. Science Development in Tanzania and Eastern Africa (eds. by Richmond, M. D. and Francis, J.). pp. 159–170. Proceedings of the 20th Anniversary Conference on Marine Science Advances in in Tanzania. 28th June -1st July 1999. Zanzibar. Tanzania. Publ. IMS/WIOMSA.

- Neori, A., Msuya, F.E., Shauli, L., Schuenhoff, A., Kopel, F. and Shpigel, M., 2003. A novel three stage seaweed (*Ulvalactuca*) bifilterdesign for integrated mariculture. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 15, 543–553.
- Neori, A., Chopin, T., Troell, M.,
 Buschmann, A.H., Kraemer, G.P.,
 Halling, C., Shpigel, M. and Yarish,
 C., 2004. Integrated aquaculture:
 rationale, evolution and state of the art
 emphasizing seaweed biofiltration in
 modern mariculture. *Aquaculture*, 231, 361–391.
- Neori, A., 2008. Essential role of seaweed cultivation in integrated multi-trophic aquaculture farms for global expansion of mariculture: an analysis. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 20, 567–570.
- Orttiz, J., Romero, N., Robert, P., Araya, J., Lopez-Hemandez, J., Bozzo, C., Navarrete, E. and Osorio, A., 2006.Dietary fiber, amino acid, fatty acid and tocopherol contents of the edible seaweeds *Ulva lactuca* and *Durvillaea* Antarctica. *Food Chemistry*. 99, 98–104.
- Phang, S.M. and Ong, K.C., 1988. Algal Biomass Production in digested palm

oil mill effluent. *Biological Wastes*, 25, 171–193.

- Phang, S.M., Shafeei, S., Hashim, N. and Sasekumar, A., 1996. Studies on *Gracilaria changii* (Gracilariales, Rhodophyta) from Malaysian mangroves. *Hydrobiologia*, 327, 347– 352.
- Rodrigueza, M.R.C. and Montaño, M.N.E., 2007. Bioremediation potential of three carrageenophytes cultivated in tanks with seawater from fish farms. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 19, 755– 762.
- Ruenglertpanyakul, W., Attasat, S. and Wanichpongpan, P., 2004.Nutrient removal from shrimp farm effluent by aquatic plants.*Water Science and Technology*, 50(6), 321–330.
- Santelices, B.,1987. The wild harvest and culture of the economically important species of *Gelidium* in Chile. In: Case studies of seven commercial seaweed resources; FAO Fisheries Technical Paper (FAO), no. 281 (eds. by Doty, M.S., Caddy, J.F. and Santelices B.) Rome (Italy), FAO. pp. 165–192.
- Seema, C. and Jayashankar, R., 2005. Removal of nitrogen load in the experimental culture system of seaweed and shrimp. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of India*, 47(2), 150–153.
- Shanmugam, A. and Palpandi, C., 2008. Biochemical Composition and Fatty Acid Profile of the Green Alga Ulva reticulata. Asian journal of Biochemistry, 3(1), 26–31.
- Shpigel, M. and Neori, A., 2007. Microalgae, macroalgae, and bivalves

as biofilters in land-based mariculture in Israel. Chapter 24 In: Ecological and Genetic Implications of Aquaculture Activities (ed. by Bert, T. M.). Dordrecht, Springer. pp. 433–446.

- Tarutani, K., Niimura, Y. and Uchida, T., 2004.Short term uptake of dissolved organic nitrogen by an axenic strain of *Ulva pertusa* (Chlorophyceae) using ¹⁵N isotope measurements.*Botanica Marina*. 47, 248–250.
- Tsagkamilis, P., Danilidis, D., Dring, M.J. and Katsaros, C., 2010. Removal of phosphate by the green seaweed *Ulva lactuca* in a small-scale sewage treatment plant (Ios Island, Aegean Sea, Greece). *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 22, 331–339.
- Wang, J.Q., Jin, C.L. and Zhang, X., 2001.Poly culture of experiment Penaeus chinesis with various biomass of Ulva pertusa var. Journal of Fisheries of China,25(1), 32–38.
- Zhou, Y., Yang, H., Hu, H., Liu, Y., Mao, Y., Zhou, H., Xu, X. and Zhang, F., 2006. Bioremediation potential of the macroalga*Gracilarialemaneiformis* (Rhodophyta) integrated into fed fish culture in coastal waters of north China. *Aquaculture*, 252, 264–276.