Research Article

Characterization and phylogenetic analysisof a g-type lysozyme gene variant from the skin mucus of grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*)

Jolodar A.1*

1 Department of Basic Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran *Correspondence: jolodara@scu.ac.ir

Keywords	Abstract

G-type lysozyme, Skin mucus, Ctenopharyngodon idella

Article info

Received: July 2023 Accepted: June 2024 Published: September 2024



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

The g-type lysozyme is one of the three major diverse lysozyme types recognized in the animal kingdom including fish. Using the RT-PCR technique, a 555 bp cDNA fragment encoding a g-type lysozymewas isolated from the skin mucus of Ctenopharyngodon idella using homolog primes. The cDNA named Ci-Kh, codes for 185 amino acids with a predicted molecular weight of 20.49 kDa and theoretical pI of 9.13. The sequence consists of one cysteine residue with no predicted signal peptide. Domain analysis showed e-value of 3.74e-107 with the conserved domain of lysozyme-like superfamily (cd01021) between amino acid residues 12 to 184. Multiple alignment with the lysozyme genes from other fish species revealed that this protein have a goose egg white lysozyme (GEWL) domain containing two conserved catalytic residues (Glu73 and Asp97) and N-acetyl-Dglucosamine binding site (Glu73, Asp85, Asp97, Tyr100, His101, His102, Ile119, Tyr147, Asn148). Protein structure prediction software revealed a prediction of 58% and 42% of ahelical and random coils for the coding sequence of Ci-Kh, respectively. The 3D model of Ci-Kh revealed that this protein was mainly composed of five main helices and random coils. Phylogenetic analysis indicated that Ci-Kh matched the group of five grass carp g-type lysozyme transcript variants with 86-99% similarity. Among the five variants of this gene, Ci-Kh sequence had the highest and lowest genetic distance with C. idella variant X2 (8.6%) and X3 (1.1%) sequences, respectively. We conclude that Ci-Kh as a different variant of g-type lysozyme cannot be ruled out.

Introduction

Lysozymes are important proteins that act against the invasion of bacterial pathogens. The family of lysozymes (EC 3.2.1.17) is a very old group of antimicrobial enzymes that play a key role in innate immunity, with the capability to hydrolysis of 1,4beta-linkages between N-acetvl-Dglucosamine (NAG) and N-acetylmuramic acid (NAM) in peptidoglycan, found heteropolymers in Metazoan ranging from bacteriophages up to plants and animals (Callewaert and Michiels, 2010).

Based on their differences in primary structure, catalytic feature and original source, lysozymes have been named chicken-type (c-type) (Hikima et al., 1997), goose-type (g-type) (Jimenez-Cantizanoet al., 2008), invertebrate-type (i-type) (Zhao et al., 2007), plant-type (Beintema and Terwisscha van Scheltinga, 1996), bacterial type (Holtje, 1996) and phage-type (Weaver et al., 1984). Usually, g-type lysozymes are bigger (about 20-22 kDa) than c- and i-type (about 11-15 kDa), and have no signal peptide (Callewaert and Michiels, 2010). In the fish immune system, innate immunity plays a main role protection against pathogens in (Magnadottir, 2006). They are broadly distributed enzymes that are found in serum, mucus and many other tissues. Fish lysozymes have been reported in two forms c-type and g-type from the various species in different tissues, like kidneys, gills, and intestines. They are much more antibacterial than other higher vertebrate lysozymes (Saurabh and Sahoo, 2008).

Lysozyme has been well studied on a molecular level and some differences

within and among fish species have been described. The first fish g-type lysozyme gene was identified in Japanese flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus) (Hikima et al., 2001). That was the first lysozyme of gtype outside the class of birds that its functions as an antibacterial molecule in fish was considered. Since then, genes of g-type lysozymes have been reported from the number of fish species, including Senegalese sole (Soleasenegalensis) (Fernandez-Trujillo et al., 2008), orangespotted grouper (Epinephelus coioides) (Yin et al., 2003), large yellow croacker (Pseudosciaena crocea) (Zheng et al., 2007), mandarin fish (Siniperca chuatsi) (Sun et al., 2006), Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) (Kyomuhendo et al., 2007), large yellow croaker (Larimichthys crocea), brill (Jimenez-Cantizano et al., 2008), Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua) (Larsen et al., 2009), grass carp (C. idella) (Ye et al., 2010) and catfish (Ictalurus punctatus) (Wang et al., 2014), turbot (Scophthalmus maximus) et al., 2013), rainbow (Yu trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) (Dautigny etal., 1991) and common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) (Savan etal., 2003). Here, we focused on the primary structure and phylogeny of a g-type lysozyme from the skin mucus of C. idella.

Materials and methods

Animals

Grass carp were obtained from the Shahid Maleki Fish Culture Ponds located in the Khuzestan province of Iran and maintained in an indoor aquarium tank with running river water. The fish had a mean weight of $800-1200 \times g$, and were adapted at $20\pm 2^{\circ}C$ for at least three weeks before they were killed.

RNA isolation

After removing most of the epidermal mucus by blotting the fish skin with tissue paper, epidermal cells were collected by scraping the scales along the cephalocaudal axis of the freshly killed fish with a sterileglass microscope slide. Total RNA from the skin was extracted using the RNX plus solution (CinnaGen, Iran) according to the manufacturer's instructions, RNA was quantified by absorbance at 260 nm.

Synthesis of cDNA

Briefly, 12 μ L (2 μ g each) of skin total RNA was incubated with 0.5 μ g of Oligo(dT)18 primers at 70°C, for 10 min followed by a brief centrifugation. The reaction was chilled on ice for a few minutes and then 1 μ L RNasin (CinnaGen, Iran), 1 μ L dNTPs mixture (120 mM of each nucleotide), 2.5 μ L of 5 × enzyme buffer and 1 μ L (200 U) of Moloney Murine Leukemia Virus (MMulV) reverse transcriptase (CinnaGen, Iran) were added. The reaction was incubated at 42°C for 1 h.

RT-PCR

Homologous primer 5'sets lysgF ATGGCATACATTTATGGAGACACC 5'lysgR GTAACCCTTGCTTCTGAACCACT was prepared based on the sequence data of common carp (AB084624). The coding amplified by region these primers corresponds to 185 amino acids. RT-PCR was performed in a volume of 25 µL containing 10 ng of cDNA, 1×PCR buffer with 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 μ M of each primer, 250 μ M of each dNTPs and 1 unit of *Taq* DNA Polymerase. The PCR program consisted of the following steps: 94°C for 2 min followed by 38 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s and 72°C for 40 s, then a final step of 72°C for 5 min. The PCR products were electrophoresed on a 1.0% (w/v) agarose gel. DNA fragments were then extracted from the gel using the Gel Extraction Kit (CinnaGen, Iran) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

DNA sequencing and sequence analysis

The amplified cDNA fragments were subjected to sequencing in both strands using a dideoxy termination method and run on an Applied Biosystems 373 DNA sequencer. The complete cDNA was determined using bv overlapping fragments. The primer was designed using Primer3 program (biotools.umassmed.edu/bioapps/primer3_ www.cgi). The sequence was compared with sequences in the database using the BLAST algorithm from the NCBI site (ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). То evaluate the evolutionary relationships between lysozyme genes, we retrieved cDNA sequences of lysozyme genes of the other species from the NCBI GenBank. The putative signal peptides were analyzed SignalP software (Nielsen using et al., 1997) (cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP) and for N- (with the NetNGlyc 1.0 Server) and O-linked (NetOGlyc 3.1 Server) glycosylation sites (Julenius et al., 2005). The multiple alignments were made using the CLUSTAL_W program (Thompson et 1994) al., and edited with the 760 Jolodar, Characterization and phylogenetic analysisof a g-type lysozyme gene variant from the skin ...

software

BOXSHADE

(ch.embnet.org/software/BOX_form.html). The CDD-Search software from the NCBI site was used to determine the conserved domains (Marchler-Bauer et al., 2017). The molecular weight (MW) and theoretical isoelectric point (pI) of the deduced amino acid sequences were analyzed using the export protein analysis program (ca.expasy.org/tools). The secondary structure of the protein was predicted using the PSIPRED Protein Sequence Analysis Workbench (bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred). The 3D structure prediction was performed by the Phyre2 program (Kelley andSternberg, 2009).Phylogenetic analysis and genetic distance were carried out by the "neighbor-joining" method bootstrap tests 1000 using MEGA7 software (Tamura et al., 2007).

Results

PCR amplification and sequence characterization

The results obtained by RT-PCR analysis confirmed the presence of lysozyme g-type in grass carp skin since the expected 555 bp cDNA fragment was amplified from the skin (Fig. 1).



Figure 1: Agarose gel electrophoresis of g-type lysozyme PCR amplification. Lane M: DNA size marker. Lane 1: PCR amplification products.

It was called Ci-Kh. Only 5 μ L of the PCR products were taken for agarose gel electrophoresis, indicating the efficient amplification of the target gene and the optimal conditions of the reaction.

A single band corresponding to the target sequence was identified with a putative translated protein composed of 185 amino acids with a predicted molecular mass of 20.49 kDa and theoretical pI of 9.13. Typical signal peptide has not been detected, in agreement with most other fish g-type lysozymes, neither possible N- or O-glycosylation sites.In order to compare the nucleotide sequence of Ci-Kh with the sequences available in the GenBank database, the BLASTn program available on the NCBI website was applied using the "Highly similar sequences (megablast)" program. This sequence showed 92-99% identity with the sequences of C. idella lysozyme g-type transcript variant mRNA genes. The nucleotide sequence of Ci-Kh showed similarity with 96 hits from the order Cypriniformes, and a total of 99 hits belonged to the family Xenocypridinae (Table 1). It was revealed that Carassius gibelio with 13 hits has the most similarity in this family, while only seven hits were related toC. idellaspecies. The sequence with accession number EU835653.1 which has already been submitted to the GenBank (Ye etal., 2010) was identical to the sequence of C. idella variant X4 (XM_051859671.1). Five different sequences X1 (XP 051715628.1), X2 (XM 051859669.1), X3 (XM_051859670.1), X4 (XM_051859671.1) X5 and (XM_051859672.1) were included in drawing the phylogeny tree. At the protein level, the amino acid alignment of Ci-Kh sequence with C. idella lysozyme g variant X1 (XP_051715628.1) and C. idella lysozyme g variant X3 (XP_051715630.1) was 99.41% identical. The degree of Ci-Kh amino acid identity with the sequence of C. idella lysozyme g-type variant X5 DTMKIDTTGASEKTAKQDKLTI KGVEASKKLAEH DLARME KYKSIII Sugar binding site

(XP_051715632.1) was 90.53% with the value of 1e-109. This comparative analysis confirmed our sequence as g-type lysozyme.

Secondary structure and three dimensional-structure model

It was revealed that the amino acids critical for the fundamental structure and function of the g-type lysozyme were highly conserved in the fish lysozymes. Domain analysis (Marchler-Bauer et al., 2017) of Ci-Khshowed e-value of 2.59e-111 with a conserved domain of lysozymelike superfamily (cd01021) between amino acid residues 12 to184 (Fig. 2). It was revealed that this protein has a goose egg white lysozyme (GEWL) domain typical containing three conserved catalytic residues (Glu73 and Asp97) and sugar binding site (Glu73, Asp86, Gln95, Val96, Asp97, Tyr100, His101, Glu119, Tyr147, Asn148, and Gly150) (Hikima et al., 2001).



Figure 2: A schematic representation of g-type lysozyme domain of Ci-Kh.

Using the PSIPRED Secondary structure and disorder prediction program we obtained a prediction of mostly *a*-helical (44.86%), Strand (1.08%) and random coils (54.05%) for the coding sequence of Ci-Kh (Fig. 3A). The three-dimensional (3D) structure of Ci-Kh protein (Fig. 3B) was modeled using 181 amino acids (98% of the entire coding sequence) with 100% confidence the single highest-scoring template (Kelley and Sternberg, 2009). The predicted 3D structure of Ci-Kh was

related to a protein that was mostly made by five main helices and random coils.

Multiple sequence alignment of the gtype lysozyme with other fish counterparts illustrates the most conserved nucleotide sequence scattered among the entire sequence. However, the result of this alignment revealed that it contained several region sites with high homology (Fig. 4). In the multiple alignments performed in the coding region of the sequence the of С. idella gene, (FN428856.1) was identical to the sequence of C. idella variant X4.

Therefore, sequence C. idella variant X4 was used in the multiple alignments. This sequence differed from the C. idella variant X2 sequence by two nucleotides (98% homology). The sequence difference between C. idella variant X4 and C. idella variant X5 was determined in 18 nucleotides (96%) homology). The difference between sequence C. idella variant X3 and C. idella variant X1 was one nucleotide (99% homology).



Figure 3: A schematic representation of the secondary structure (A) and three-dimensional model (B) of g-type lysozyme Ci-Kh.

When the 5 variant sequences were compared with Ci-Kh, it was found that

this sequence showed the highest and lowest similarity with sequences *C. idella*

variant X3 and *C. idella* variant X1 (98%) and *C. idella* variant X4 and *C. idella* variant X2 (92%), respectively. Comparison between Ci-Kh with sequence *C. idella* variant X5 showed lower similarity (94%).

Phylogenetic analysis

The phylogenic tree was constructed for the nucleotide sequence of the g-type lysozyme gene obtained from *C. idella* in Khuzestan (Ci-Kh) and other variant sequences from *C. idella* species. The nucleotide sequence of Ci-Kh with the retrieved variant sequences was subjected to multiple sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis using MEGA7 software using the neighbor-joining method. Among the 5 variant sequences given in Figure 5, two sequences C. idella variant X1 and C. idella variant X3 with a high similarity of 99 are in the same cluster. However, Ci-Kh with bootstrap value 91 is separated from both of them. The other three sequences (C. idella variant X5, C. idella variant X4 and C. idella variant X2) were placed in a separate cluster. *Cyprinus* carpio (AB084624.1) sequence was used as an out-group.

C.idella Khuzeatan	ATGCAT ACATITTATGGAGACAC <mark>C</mark> CATGAAAATAGACACCACC <mark>GGGGGCATCAGAGACAAGCAAAACAAGACAA</mark> TTAAC	80
XM-051859670.1 C.idella variant X3	ATGGCAAACATTTATGGAGACA <mark>T</mark> CATGAAAATAGACACCAC <mark>C</mark> GG <mark>T</mark> GCATCAGA <mark>GAR</mark> GACAGCAAAACAAGACAA <mark>B</mark> TTAAC	BD
XM-051859668.1 C.idella variant X1	CTAGCAAACATTTATGGAGACA <mark>T</mark> CATGAAAATAGACACCACC <mark>C</mark> GG <mark>T</mark> GCATCAGA <mark>GAA</mark> GACAGCAAAACAAGACAA <mark>B</mark> TTAAC	80
XM-051859672.1 C.idella variant X5	ATGGCCTGCATITAIGGAGACGTCAIGAAAATAGACACCACGGGGGGCATCAGA <mark>TIC</mark> GACAGCAAAACAGGACAA <mark>C</mark> ITAAC	BD
XM-051859671.1 C.idella variant X4	ATGCCTCCATTTATGGAGACGTCATGAAAATAGACACCACGGGG <mark>G</mark> GCATCAGA <mark>TTC</mark> GACAGCAAAACAGGACAA <mark>C</mark> TTAAC	BD
XM-051859669.1 C.idella variant X2	CTAGCG ^{II} GCATITATGGAGACGTCATGAAAATAGACACCAC <mark>G</mark> GG <mark>G</mark> GCATCAGA <mark>TTC</mark> GACAGCAAAACAGGACAA <mark>C</mark> TTAAC	80
C idella Khumeatan	саталавба <mark>с</mark> бттвалагетералаалагтабствабгатбатетббесеббатббабалатасалбабтаталтеатта	160
XM-051859670.1 C.idella variant X3	CATANAGGG <mark>G</mark> GITGAAGCCICCAAAAAACTGGCIGAGCAIGATCIGGCCCGGAIGGAGAAAIACAAGAGIAAAACAIIA	160
XM-051859668.1 C.idella variant X1	CATANAGGG <mark>C</mark> GTTGAAGCCTCCAAAAAACTGGCTGAGCATGATCTGGCCCGGATGGAGAAATACAAGAGTATAATCATTA	160
XM-051859672.1 C.idella variant X5	CGTANAGGG <mark>T</mark> GITGAAGCCTCCAGAAAACTAGCTGAGCAIGATCTGGCCCGGAIGGAGAAATACAAGAGTATAATCATTA	160
XM-051859671.1 C.idella variant X4	CGTANAGGG <mark>T</mark> GTTGAAGCCTCCAGAAAACTAGCTGAGCATGATCTGGCCCGGATGGAGAAATACAAGAGTATAATCATTA	160
XM-051859669.1 C.idella variant X2	CGTAAAGGG <mark>T</mark> GTTGAAGCCTCCAGAAAACTAGCTGAGCATGATCTGGCCCGGATGGAGAAATACAAGAGTATAATCATTA	160
C.idella Khuzeatan	AAGTTGG <mark>CAAAGCAAAG</mark> AAGATAGA <mark>G</mark> CCGGCTGTGAITGCTGCCATCATATCCAGAGAGTCCAGAGCCGGAGC <mark>I</mark> GCTCTG	240
XM-051859670.1 C.idella variant X3	AAGTTGG <mark>C</mark> AAAGCAAAG <mark>A</mark> AGATAGA <mark>G</mark> CCGGCTGTGAITGCTGCCATCATAICCAGAGAGTCCAGAGGCCGGAGC <mark>I</mark> GCTCIG	240
XM-051859668.1 C.idella variant X1	AAGTTGG <mark>C</mark> AAAGCAAAG <mark>A</mark> AGATAGA <mark>G</mark> CCGGCTGIGAITGCTGCCATCATAICCAGAGACCCAGAGCCGGAGC <mark>I</mark> GCTCIG	240
XM-051859672.1 C.idella variant X5	AAGTTGG <mark>A</mark> AGAAAAAG <mark>C</mark> AGATGGA <mark>C</mark> CCGGCTGIGAITGCTGCCATCATAICCAGAGTCCAGAGCCGGAGC <mark>C</mark> GCTCIG	240
XM-051859671.1 C.idella variant X4	AAGTTGG <mark>A</mark> AGAGCAAAG <mark>C</mark> AGATGGA <mark>C</mark> CCGGCTGTGATTGCTGCCATCATATCCAGAGAGTCCAGAGCCGGAGCCGGAGCC	240
XM-051859669.1 C.idella variant X2	AAGTTGG ² AGAGCAAAG ² AGATGGA ² CCCGGCTGIGATTGCTGCCATCATAICCAGAGAGTCCAGAGCCGGAGC ² GCTCIG	240
C.idella Khuzeatan	A <mark>r</mark> ggatgggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggg	320
XM-051859670.1 C.idella variant X3	A <mark>A</mark> GGATGGGTGGGGCGACCATGGC <mark>A</mark> ATG <mark>G</mark> CTTTGGC <mark>C</mark> TCATGCAGGTTGACAAACGCTATCACACTCCAGTAGGTGCATG	320
XM-051859668.1 C.idella variant X1	A <mark>a</mark> ggatgggtggggggaccatggc <mark>a</mark> atg <mark>g</mark> ctttggc <mark>c</mark> tcatgcaggttgacaaacgctatcacactccagtaggtgcatg	320
XM-051859672.1 C.idella variant X5	A <mark>F</mark> AGATGGGTGGGGCGACCATGGC <mark>T</mark> ATG <mark>C</mark> CTTTGGC <mark>A</mark> TCATGCAGATTGACAAACGCTATCACACTCCAGTAGGTGCATG	320
XM-051859671.1 C.idella variant X4	A FAGAT GGGT GGGGC GACCAT GGC TAT GC CTTT GGC AT CAT GCAGAT TGACAAAC GCTAT CACACT CCAGT A GGT GCAT G	320
XM-051859669.1 C.idella variant X2	AFAGATGGGTGGGGGGGGCCACCATGGCTATGCCTTTGGCETCATGCAGATTGACAAACGCTATCACACTCCAGTAGGTGCATG	320
C.idella Khuzeatan	GACASTGASCASCATATCACACAASGAACTGASATACTCATTGSCTTCATTAASSAATTAAASCAAASTTTCCCCCAST	400
XM-051859670.1 C.idella variant X3	<mark>g</mark> acagtgagcagcatatcacacaaggaactgagatactcattggcttcattaaagaaattaaagcaaagtticcccagt	400
XM-051859668.1 C.idella variant X1	GACAGTGAGCAGCATATCACAAGGAACTGAGATACTCATTGGCTICATTAAAGAAATTAAAGCAAAGTTICCCCAGT	400
XM-051859672.1 C.idella variant X5	CGACAGTGAGCAGCATATCACACAAGGAACTGAGATACTCATTGGCTTCATTAAAGAAATTAAAGCAAAGTTICCCCAGT	400
XM-051859671.1 C.idella variant X4	<mark>C</mark> GACAGTGAGCAGCATATCACAAGGAACTGAGATACTCATTGGCTICATTAAAGAAATTAAAGCAAAGTTICCCCAGT	400
XM-051859669.1 C.idella variant X2	CGACAGTGAGCAGCATATCACACAAGGAACTGAGATACTCATTGGCTICAITAAAGAAATTAAAGCAAAGTTICCCCAGT	400
C.idella Khuzeatan	GGACTCAAGAGCAATGCTTTAAAGGGGGGGGTATCAGCCTACAA <mark>B</mark> GC <mark>B</mark> GGT <mark>GI</mark> GAAGAACGTCCGTACATACGAGCACATG	450
XM-051859670.1 C.idella variant X3	GGACTCAAGAGCAATGCTTTAAAGGGGGGGTATCAGCCTACAA <mark>T</mark> GC <mark>T</mark> GGT <mark>GT</mark> GAAGAACGTCCGTACATACGAGCACATG	480
XM-051859668.1 C.idella variant X1	GGACTCAAGAGCAATGCTTTIAAAGGGGGGGATATCAGCCTACAA <mark>T</mark> GC <mark>T</mark> GGA <mark>G</mark> GAAGAACGTCCGTACATACGAGCACATG	480
XM-051859672.1 C.idella variant X5	GGACTCAAGAGCAATGCTTTAAAGGGGGGGGATATCAGCCTACAATGCTGGTGGAGAACGTCCGTACATACGAGCACATG	450
XM-051859671.1 C.idella variant X4	GGACTCAAGAGCAATGCTTTAAAGGGGGGGATATCAGCCTACAAC <mark>GGCA</mark> GGT <mark>CC</mark> GGGAACGTCCGTACATACGAGCGCATG	450
XM-051559669.1 C.idella variant XZ	GENCICHNGNGCHNIGCITIINNNGGGGGGGNINICNGCCINCNN <mark>c</mark> gc <mark>n</mark> ggi <mark>cc</mark> ggggnncgiccgincnincengc <mark>g</mark> cnig	450
C.idella Khuzeatan	GACGTGGG <mark>CACCACAGAGAGATGATTAC</mark> CCAATGATGTTGTGGCCCCAGCCCAG	555
XM-051859670.1 C.idella variant X3	GACGTGGG <mark>C</mark> ACC <mark>ACA3GAGAGAT</mark> GATTAC <mark>6</mark> CCAATGATGTTGTG6CCCCGAGCCCAGTGGT <mark>CAATG</mark> GCAAGGGTIAC	555
XM-051859668.1 C.idella variant X1	GACGTGGG <mark>C</mark> AC <mark>C</mark> ACAG <mark>GA</mark> GATGATTAC <mark>G</mark> CCAATGATGTTGTGGCCCGAGCCCAGTGGT <mark>TCAATGGCAAGGGTTAC</mark>	555
XM-051859672.1 C.idella variant X5	GACGTGGG <mark>C</mark> AC <mark>CACAGGAGAT</mark> GATTACGCCAATGATGTTGTGGCCCCGAGCCCAGTGGT <mark>T</mark> CAA <mark>T</mark> GGCAAGGGTTAC	555
XM-051859671.1 C.idella variant X4	GACGTGGG <mark>B</mark> AC <mark>TGCAGC</mark> GG <mark>GATTACT</mark> CCAATGATGTTGTGGCCCGAGCCCAGTGGT <mark>A</mark> C <u>AAAAGCAAGGGTTA</u> C	555
XM-051859669.1 C.idella variant X2	GACGTGGGMACHGCAGCGGGGGATTACHCCAATGATGTTGTGGCCCCGAGCCCAGTGGTMCAAAAGCAAGGGTTAC	555

Figure 4: Comparison of the nucleotide sequences of g-type lysozyme Ci-Kh with other *C. idella* variants sequences. Shading indicates identity (black) or conservative substitutions (grey) relative to Ci-Kh.



0.020

Figure 5: Phylogenetic tree constructed from the nucleotide sequences of g-type lysozyme *C. idella*-Khuzestan with other variant sequences using Neighbor-*joining* analysis. Bootstrap numbers are based on 1000 replicates. The numbers in front of the species are the accession numbers of the related gene variants in the GenBank. The numbers above the lines indicate the relationship between the groups.

In order to analyze Ci-Kh gene in the larger context of fish g-type lysozyme phylogenetic analysis genes. was conducted based on the deduced amino acid sequences from 4 C. idella gene variants with 9 sequences from Cyprinidae family, as shown in Figure 6. The protein sequence of Ci-Kh with the related sequence data was subjected to multiple sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis using MEGA7 software using the neighbor-joining method. The constructed phylogram showed two distinct clusters (A and B). The major cluster A was further subdivided into two sub-cluster. In cluster A, the gene variants of C. idella variant X3 (XP-051715630.1) and C. idella variant X1 (XP-051715628.1)were grouped together with strong bootstrap а

score of 85. Within this cluster, Ci-Kh formed a separate branch (59%) that shows moderate support for C. idella variant X3 and X1. The similarity of C. idella variant X5 (XP-051715632.1) and C. idella variant X4 (XP051715631.1)formed a separate branch in cluster A with a score of 99. The phylogenetic tree shows that all species belonging to Cluster B are joined together with a bootstrap score of 46. As it was expected Ci-Kh used in this study was perfectly arranged in cluster A showing higher genetic similarity with different C. idella isoforms and was showing higher genetic distance particularly with species in Cluster B. Cyprinus carpio (ANC28107.1) used as an out-group.



0.020

Figure 6: Phylogenetic tree constructed from the amino acid sequences of g-type lysozyme *C. idella*-Khuzestan with the related sequences using Neighbor-*joining* analysis. Bootstrap numbers are based on 1000 replicates. The numbers in front of the species are the accession numbers of the related genes in the GenBank. The numbers above the lines indicate the relationship between the groups.

Genetic distances

The genetic distance of g-type lysozyme Ci-Kh was calculated with *C. idella* nucleotide variant sequences using MEGA7 software. According to Table 1, among the five variants of this gene available in GenBank, Ci-Kh sequence had the highest and lowest genetic distance with *C. idella* variant X2 (XM 051859669.1) (8.6%) and *C. idella* variant X3 (XM-051859670.1) (1.1%) sequences, respectively.However, when amino acid was used in phylogeny, these values were 14.2% and 1.7%, respectively (Table 2).

Taxonomy	No. of Organism	Score	No. of Hits		
Bilateria	24		103		
. Otophysi	23		102		
Cypriniformes	21		96		
Cyprinoidei	19		89		
Xenocypridinae	3		12		
Ctenopharyngodonidella	1	933	7		
Megalobramaamblycephala	1	872	4		
Hypophthalmichthys molitrix	1	307	1		
Carassiusgibelio	1	712	13		
Pimephalespromelas	1	712	4		
Carassius auratus	1	706	11		
Sinocyclocheilusrhinocerous	1	676	7		
Sinocyclocheilusanshuiensis	1	676	4		
Labeorohita	1	662	4		

Table 1: Taxonomy of Ci-Kh from Khuzestan based on BLASTn g-type lysozyme gene.

766 Jolodar, Characterization and phylogenetic analysis f a g-type lysozyme gene variant from the skin ...

Table 1 continued:			
Sinocyclocheilusgrahami	1	660	6
Puntigrustetrazona	1	601	3
Danio rerio	1	568	11
Cyprinuscarpio	1	553	7
Gobiogobio	1	318	1
Phoxinusphoxinus	1	307	1
Squaliuscephalus	1	291	1
Barbusbarbus	1	276	2
Daniokyathit	1	274	1
Danioaesculapii	1	261	1

 Table 2: The genetic pairwise distances of g-type lysozyme gene Ci-Kh compared to the related C. idella variant nucleotide sequences.

Specimens	1	2	3	4	5	6
1- C. <i>idella</i> Khuzestan						
2-XM-051859670.1 C. idella variant X3	0.011					
3-XM-051859668.1 C. idella variant X1	0.014	0.004				
4-XM-051859672.1 C. idella variant X5	0.058	0.050	0.054			
5-XM-051859671.1 C. idella variant X4	0.083	0.083	0.086	0.032		
6-XM-051859669.1 C. idella variant X2	0.086	0.086	0.083	0.036	0.004	
7-AB084624.1 C. carpio	0.139	0.146	0.150	0.178	0.182	0.186

Discussion

As indicated by the name, the first g-type lysozymes were recognized in the egg whites of different bird species (Canfield and McMurry, 1967). It is also broadly reported in fish (Hikima *et al.*, 2001) and mammals (Irwin and Gong, 2003). In this paper, we report the identification of a g-type lysozyme gene variant in grass carp.

Multiple alignment and phylogenetic analysis of Ci-Kh at nucleotide and amino acid sequences revealed that the gene was the g-type lysozyme. It consists of 185 amino acids, with no predicted signal peptide. In birds and mammals, the signal peptide sequence was found at the Nterminus of g-type lysozymes, but the lysozyme reported g-type (Ci-Kh) possessed no signal peptide, suggesting that the enzyme as an intracellular protein perhaps not be secreted from cells (Irwin and Gong, 2003). Although most fish gtype lysozymes lack signal peptides, some of them, such as salmon (Kyomuhendo et al., 2007), have signal peptides. Some features of grass carp lysozyme (Ci-Kh), like the number of amino acids (185 aa) and the molecular weight (20.490 kDa) are close to what is estimated for a typical gtype lysozyme (Callewaert and Michiels, 2010). Sequencing results showed that Ci-Kh has one cysteine which is lower with mammalian bird compared to and lysozymes. The presence of one cysteine, as in common carp (Savan et al., 2003), and S. salar (Kyomuhendo et al., 2007), or two, as in D. rerioand S. maximus(Yu et al., 2013), with no capability to shape a disulfide bond (Irwin and Gong, 2003), suggesting that disulfide bonds formed in g-type lysozyme were not essential for the proper folding of the catalytically active conformation (Kawamura et al., 2006).

It was shown that the expression of gtype lysozyme gene showed different patterns in various species. They were expressed in different tested tissues such as the spleen, kidney, gill, skin, heart, intestine and blood of fish including Japanese flounder (Hikima *et al.*, 2001), orange-spotted grouper (Yin *et al.*, 2003) and Atlantic cod (Larsen *et al.*, 2009). Isolation of Ci-Kh transcript from the skin mucus of fish suggested that g-type lysozyme may have involvement in creating the first barrier against external pathogens and skin mainly plays key roles in innate immunity.

The lysozyme g-type of Ci-Kh containing 185 deduced amino acid residues, is similar to those in common carp (Savan et al., 2003) and grass carp (Ye et al., 2010) which is shorter than other fish species. For instance, the number of deduced amino acid residues of g-type lysozyme cDNA from some fish species such as Japanese flounder(Hikima et al., 2001), orange-spotted grouper (Yin et al., 2003), larger yellow croaker(Zheng et al., 2007), mandarin fish(Sun et al., 2006), brill (Jimenez-Cantizano et al., 2008) and turbot (Yu et al., 2013) was 193-195. The Atlantic cod has a g-type lysozyme with a longer deduced lysozyme protein having 217 aa (Kyomuhendo et al., 2007). Although different fish species contain different open reading frame (ORF) lengths of g-type lysozyme cDNA, the conserved domain of g-type lysozyme protein was much similar. The deduced Ci-Kh protein contains typical GEWL domain and two conserved catalytic residues (Glu73 and Asp97) which are present in most fish species as well as in birds and

mammals create strong catalytic activity (Hikima et al., 2001). In Ci-Kh, the third catalytic residue at the 86th position, the aspartate, is also observed. However, studies show that the presence of the two original residues is sufficient for catalytic activity (Kuchinke, 1989). Nevertheless, as typical of fish g-type lysozyme, neighboring amino acids were also conserved. Moreover, the presence of five conserved repeats predicted to form amphipathic α -helical secondary structures is quite conserved.

Phylogenetic analysis revealed that Ci-Kh is divergent from the five other C. *idella* variant members which are retrieved from the database. Only a few nucleotide differences were found between variant X1 (XM-051859668.1) and variant X3 (XM-051859670.1) as well as variant X2 (XM-051859669.1) and variant X4 (XM-051859671.1). It is very probable that these nucleotide differences were due to "usual" genetic variations found in any population. Although, Ci-Kh showed a moderate difference with those two and X3 variants), variants (X1 its differences with other three (X2, X4, and X5 variants) both in terms of genetic distance and phylogenetic analyses are distinct.

Conclusions

It is concluded that Ci-Kh as a different variant of g-type lysozyme cannot be ruled out. Further analysis of the structure and function is necessary to understand the evolutionary pattern of g-type lysozymes in this species.

Acknowledgments

This study was financially supported by a research grant from the Vice President of the Research Affairs Office at the Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- Beintema, J.J. and Terwisscha van Scheltinga, A.C., 1996. Plant lysozymes. *Experient supplements*, 75, 75-86. DOI:10.1007/978-3-0348-9225-4-5
- Callewaert, L. and Michiels, C.W., 2010. Lysozymes in the animal kingdom. *Journal of Biosciences*, 35,127-160. DOI:10.1007/s12038-010-0015-5
- Canfield, R. and McMurry, S., 1967. Purification and characterization of a lysozyme from goose egg white. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 26, 38-42. DOI:10.1016/0006-291X(67)90249-5
- Dautigny, A., Prager, E.M, Pham-Dinh, D., Jolles, J. and Pakdel, F., 1991. cDNA and amino acid sequences of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) lysozymes and their implications for the evolution of lysozymeand lactalbumin. *Journal of molecular evolution*, 32, 187-198. DOI:10.1007/BF02515392
- Fernandez-Trujillo, M.A., Porta, J., Manchado, M., Borrego, J.J. and Alvarez, M.C., 2008. c-Lysozyme from Senegalese sole (*Solea senegalensis*): cDNA cloning and expression pattern. *Fish and Shellfish*

Immunology, 25, 697-700. DOI:10.1016/j.fsi.2008.08.004.

- Hikima, J., Hirono, I. and Aoki, T., 1997. Characterization and expression of c-type lysozyme cDNA from Japanese flounder (*Paralichthys* olivaceus). Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology, 6, 339-334.
- Hikima, J., Minagawa, S., Hirono, I.
 and Aoki, T., 2001. Molecular cloning, expression and evolution of the Japanese flounder goose-type lysozyme gene, and the lytic activity of its recombinant protein. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, 1520, 35-44. DOI:10.1016/S0167-4781(01)00248-2
- Holtje, J., 1996. Bacterial lysozymes. *Experientsupplements*, 75, 65-74. DOI:10.1007/978-3-0348-9225-4-4
- Irwin, D.M. and Gong, Z., 2003. Molecular evolution of vertebrate goose-type lysozyme genes. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, 56, 234-42. DOI:10.1007/s00239-002-2396-z
- Jimenez-Cantizano, R.M., Infante, C., B., Ponce, Martin-Antonio, М., Hachero, I. and Navas, J.I., 2008. Molecular characterization, phylogeny, and expression of c-type and g-type lysozymes in brill (Scophthalmus Fish rhombus). and Shellfish Immunology, 25. 57-65. DOI:10.1016/j.fsi.2007.12.009
- Julenius, K., Molgaard, A., Gupta, R. and Brunak, S., 2005.Prediction, conservation analysis, and structural characterization of mammalian mucintype O-glycosylation sites.*Glycobiology*, 15, 153-64. DOI:10.1093/glycob/cwh151

- Kawamura, S., Ohno, K., Ohkuma, M., Chijiiwa, Y. and Torikata T., 2006. Experimental verification of the crucial roles of Glu73 in the catalytic activity and structural stability of goose type lysozyme. *Journal of Biochemistry*, 140, 75-85.DOI:10.1093/jb/mvj125
- Kelley, L.A. and Sternberg, M.J., 2009. Protein structure prediction on the Web: a case study using the Phyre server. *Nature Protocols*, 4, 363-371. DOI:12038-010-0015-5
- Kuchinke, W., 1989. 50 residues coded by exon 2 of chicken lysozyme carry residual catalytic activity. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 31,927-32. DOI:10.1016/0006-291X(89)92197-9
- Kyomuhendo, P., Myrnes, B. and Nilsen, I., 2007. A cold-active salmon goose-type lysozyme with high heat tolerance. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, 64, 75-85.DOI:10.1093/jb/mvj125
- Larsen, A.N., Solstad, T., Svineng, G., Seppola, M. and Jlrgensen, T., 2009. Molecular characterization of a goosetype lysozyme gene in Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua L.). *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 26(1), 122-32. DOI:10.1016/j.fsi.2008.03.021
- Magnadottir, B., 2006. Innate immunity of fish (overview). *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 20(2), 137-151. DOI:10.1016/j.fsi.2004.09.006
- Marchler-Bauer, A., Bo, Y., Han, L., He, Lanczycki, C.J. and Lu, S.,

2017. CDD/SPARCLE: functional classification of proteins via subfamily domain architectures. *Nucleic Acids*

Research, 45, 200-203. DOI:10.1093/nar/gkw1129

- Nielsen, H., Engelbrecht, J., Brunak, S. von Heijne, **G.**, 1997. and Identification prokaryotic of and eukaryotic signal peptides and prediction of their cleavage sites. Protein Engineering, 10. 1-6.DOI:10.1093/protein/10.1.1
- Saurabh, S. and Sahoo, P.K., 2008. Lysozyme: an important defence molecule of fish innateimmune system. *Aquaculture Research*, 39, 223-39. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2109.2007.01883.x
- Savan, R., Aman, A. and Sakai, M., 2003. Molecular cloning of G type lysozyme cDNA in common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.). *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 15, 263-268.DOI:10.1016/S1050-4648(02)00159-6
- Sun, B., Wang, G., Xie, H., Gao, Q. and Nie, P., 2006. Gene structure of goosetype lysozyme in the mandarin fish Siniperca chuatsi with analysis on the lytic activity of its recombinant in Escherichia coli. *Aquaculture*, 252, 106-113.

DOI:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.07.046

Tamura, K., Dudley, J., Nei, M. and Kumar, S., 2007. MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 24, 1596-1599.

DOI:10.1093/molbev/msm092

Thompson, J.D., Higgins, D.G. and Gibson, T.J., 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 22, 4673-4680. DOI:10.1093/nar/22.22.4673

- Wang, X., Li, C., Thongda, W., Luo Y., Beck, B. and Peatman, E., 2014. Characterization and mucosal responses of interleukin 17 family ligand and receptor genes in channel catfish Ictalurus punctatus Fish & Immunology. Shellfish Fish and Shellfish Immunolog, 38. 47-55. DOI:10.1016/j.fsi.2014.02.020
- Weaver, L.H., Grutter, **M.G.**, Remington, S.J., Gray, T.M., Isaacs, N.W. and Matthews, B.W., 1984. Comparison of goose-type, chickenphage-type lysozymes type, and illustrates the changes that occur in both sequence amino acid and three dimensional structure during evolution. Journal of Molecular Evolution, 21, 97-111
- Ye, X., Zhang, L., Tian, Y., Tan, A. and Bai, J., 2010. Identification and expression analysis of the g-type and ctype lysozymes in grass carp Ctenopharyngodon idellus. **Developmental** and *Comparative* 501-509. Immunology, 34, DOI:10.1016/j.dci.2009.12.009

- Yin, Z.X., He, J.G., Deng, W.X. and Chan, S.M., 2003. Molecular cloning, expression of orange-spotted grouper goose-type lysozyme cDNA, and lytic activity of its recombinant protein. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, 55, 117-123. DOI:10.3354/dao055117
- Yu, L.P., Sun, B.G., Li, J. and Sun, L.,
 2013. Characterization of a c-type lysozyme of *Scophthalmus maximus*: expression, activity, and antibacterial effect. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 34, 46-54. DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi. 2012.10.007
- Zhao, J., Song, L., Li, C., Zou, H. and Ni, D., 2007. Molecular cloning of an invertebrate goose-type lysozyme gene from (*Chlamys farreri*), and lytic activity of the recombinant protein. *Molecular Immunology*, 44, 1198-1208. DOI:10.1016/j.molimm.2006.06.008
- Zheng, W., Tian, C. and Chen, X., 2007. Molecular characterization of goose type lysozyme homologue of large yellow croaker and its involvement in immune response induced by trivalent bacterial vaccine as an acute phase protein. *Immunology Letters*, 113, 107-116. DOI:10.1016/gimlet. 2007.08.001