

Research Article

DNA barcoding and genetic diversity of threadfin breams (Nemipteridae): Unravel the cryptic species from the northern Arabian Sea

Sial B.¹, Naveed S.¹, Khan A.M.^{1*}, Raza M.^{1,2}, Khan A.A.³, Panhwar S.K.⁴, Ashfaq M.⁵

¹Department of Biotechnology, University of Sargodha, Pakistan

²College of Fisheries and Life Sciences, Shanghai Ocean University, Shanghai 201306, China

³Department of Entomology, University of Layyah, Pakistan

⁴Centre of Excellence in Marine Biology, University of Karachi, Pakistan

⁵University of Guelph, Canada

*Corresponding author: arif.khan@uos.edu.pk

Keywords

DNA barcoding,
Genetic diversity,
Threadfin Breams,
Cryptic species,
Arabian Sea

Abstract

The Nemipteridae fish family is a colored marine fish species having elongated bodies and filamentous dorsal fin extensions. They are widely distributed in the Indo-Pacific Oceans and prefer to live in shallow coastal waters, often over muddy and sandy bottoms. This fish family has economic value in Southeast Asia and is widely consumed as food. In this study, we conducted the DNA barcoding of the Nemipteridae fish family. Five different species of Nemipteridae were taken from the marine waters of Pakistan. A total of 33 specimens belonging to five species and three genera were collected from 2019 to 2023. This study delineated two cryptic species (*Nemipterus japonicus* and *Nemipterus randallii*) on the molecular basis. The phylogenetic tree was made and showed genus-wise clustering of the species. A clear sister clade was able to be seen within the *N. japonicus* and *N. randalli*. Moreover, the barcode gap showed a significant genetic gap between these species, validating them as separate species. The present study of DNA barcodes has demonstrated high efficiency in the identification of species. This is the first study that explores the genetic basis of the family Nemipteridae from the coastal area of Pakistan.

Article info

Received: November 2024

Accepted: April 2025

Published: September 2025



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Introduction

More than 55,000 species of half of the extant vertebrates are fish. Throughout the history of complex life, fish have been ecologically dominant in the aquatic environment. Each of the species present today has a distinct range of adaptations and an evolutionary history spanning millions of years, encompassing many more ancestral species (Helfman *et al.*, 2009). Moreover, fish are the most varied group of vertebrates, with over 33,000 species documented, and they live in nearly every major aquatic habitat type, performing a wide range of ecological activities in ecosystems (Martinez *et al.*, 2018). Geographically, the tropics have the most diversity. The West Indo-Pacific area, which encompasses the western Pacific, India, along with the Red Oceans, as well as the Red Sea, has the most marine diversity (Helfman *et al.*, 2009).

Threadfin breams (Nemipteridae) are found across the Indo-Pacific. These fish are adapted to live in shallow coastal waters, most commonly over sandy or muddy bottoms. They are carnivorous, feeding on invertebrates like crustaceans and smaller fish, and are known for their schooling behaviour, which aids in defence and feeding (Russell, 1990; Wu, 2008; Amine, 2012). They have good economic and food value and play a major role in daily fish landings. The prime factors, like adaptability to fluctuating surroundings and diverse reproductive modes (protogynous hermaphroditism), have made them resilient. The Japanese threadfin bream, *Nemipterus japonicus* (family Nemipteridae), is found all over the Indian and Pacific Oceans. Two species,

Nemipterus japonicus and *Nemipterus randalli*, account for 90% of the threadfin bream fishing in Pakistan. Although these two species are very closely related and look similar to each other due to their morphology, it is sometimes difficult to distinguish between them. The main difference between these two species is the colour of the tail end. The *Nemipterus randalli* species has a red colour tail end, and the *Nemipterus japonicus* has a yellow color tail end (Kalhor *et al.*, 2014). Sometimes, these extra tail ends are broken, and most of the Nemipteridae taxonomy research is based on preserved specimens and does not accurately characterize colour patterns. Moreover, it is difficult to identify the species at larval stages. DNA barcoding can be thought of as the heart of a taxonomic system. The mitochondrial gene cytochrome c oxidase I (COI) has been proven to be the foundation of a universal bioidentification system for animals. Researchers have been experimenting with the concept of identifying species quickly and readily using merely a short DNA sequence, known as a DNA barcode, that indicates a uniform place in the genome (Vohra and Khera, 2013; Khan *et al.*, 2024; Sial *et al.*, 2024; Raza *et al.*, 2025). The potential of DNA barcoding to identify species from a wide range of taxa and to find cryptic species has been amply demonstrated in recent years. The study of taxonomically challenging taxa has benefited from DNA barcoding. The use of DNA barcoding in fast biodiversity assessments has proven to be successful. A crucial component of DNA barcoding is the capability to link programs to museum and herbarium specimens, allowing this new

science to gain from centuries of validated taxonomic data investment (Fišer and Buzan, 2014).

All sixty-two currently identified Nemipteridae species have been discussed in various places, with sixty of them being depicted in colour and with line drawings. Out of them, 14 species have been identified: *Nemipterus bipunctatus*, *Nemipterus japonicus*, *Nemipterus randalli*, *Nemipterus peronii*, *Parascolopsis aspinosa*, *Nemipterus zysron*, *Parascolopsis boeseman*, *Parascolopsis townsendi*, *Parascolopsis eriomma*, *Scolopsis bimaculata*, *Scolopsis taeniata*, *Scolopsis ghanam*, *Scolopsis vosmeri*, and *Scolopsis torquatus* found in Pakistan (Psomadakis, 2015). Commonly, they are known as “Lal-pari”, “Chakori”, “Korora” (Sin); “Kolonto” (Bal) (Psomadakis, 2015).

The current study aimed to determine the genetic relationship among different species, including (*Nemipterus japonicus*, *Nemipterus randalli*, *Scolopsis vosmeri*, *Scolopsis bimaculata*, *Parascolopsis bimaculata*) of the Nemipteridae fish family. Here, we validated the existence of these species on a morphological and molecular basis. Together with the delineation of two cryptic species from the coastal area of Pakistan. Moreover, we unravelled the genetic diversity, barcode gap, and phylogenetic relationship within the family Nemipteridae based on the COI gene.

Materials and methods

Fish specimens and DNA extraction

Five different fish species of the family Nemipteridae were collected from 2019 to

2023 in marine water sites in Pakistan. In total, 33 specimens were collected from the daily fish landing facilities in Pakistan. The exact collection sites are shown in Figure 1. The samples were then brought to the Centre of Excellence in Marine Biology (CEMB) for taxonomic identification. The identification of species was carried out by the FAO Fish Identification Sheets (Psomadakis, 2015). After taking the photographs, the samples were transported to the Department of Biotechnology, University of Sargodha, for further molecular analysis. Extraction of tissue was done from the muscle or the caudal fin in sterile conditions and kept at -20°C in 95% ethanol until used. DNA was extracted by the manual method and by following the protocol of the organic method of DNA extraction. The DNA was eluted in 30 µL of TE buffer and was stored in the freezer for further processing.

PCR amplification

A total amount of 25µL of the master mix was used for PCR to amplify the COI gene. In total, 1.5µL of genomic DNA was used with 2.5 µL of *Taq* buffer (KCl+ NaOH), 0.25µL of *Taq* DNA polymerase, 2µL of MgCl₂, 2.5µL of dNTPs, 0.25 µL of each forward and reverse primer, respectively, and 15.75µL of ddH₂O was added. The universal primers (Fish F1, R1) were used for the amplification of the COI gene: Fish F1 5' TCAACCAACCACAAAGACATTGGCA C 3', Fish R1 5' TAGACTTCTGGGTGGCCAAAGAATC A 3' (Ward *et al.*, 2005). The PCR conditions consisted of an initial step of 4 min at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of 30

sec at 94°C, 30 sec at 55°C and 1 min at 72°C, followed by a final extension of 5 min at 72°C. The PCR products were visualized on 1.5% agarose gels, and the most intense products were selected for sequencing. Products were sequenced

commercially using the Sanger sequencing method. After that, the samples were sent to CCDB (Canadian Centre for DNA barcoding) for Sanger sequencing.




Figure 1: Showing the exact sampling sites. The green spot represents the Balochistan site, and the Orange colour represents the Karachi Fisheries harbour site.

Phylogenetic analysis

Sequences were aligned using MEGA, and the sequence difference between species was calculated by averaging pairwise comparisons of sequence difference across all individuals. The COI sequences of the five individuals of each species were aligned to yield a final sequence of 655 bp. The pairwise evolutionary distance among haplotypes was determined by the Kimura 2-Parameter method (Kimura, 1980) using the software program MEGA X (Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis) (Kumar *et al.*, 2004). The best DNA/protein model

(HKY) was found by using MEGA, and the maximum-likelihood tree was made considering the bootstrap analysis with 1000 replicates.

Genetic distances, barcode gap, cumulative curve, and nucleotide diversity

The pairwise-genetic distances were calculated by MEGA, and a heatmap was formed by using an R script. All of the sequences were uploaded to the BOLD systems database. This sequence information was further used to infer the cumulative curve, Barcode gap analysis,

and nucleotide diversity analysis of the species (Ratnasingham and Hebert, 2007).

Results

Genetic distances

In total, 33 specimens collected from the daily fish landing facilities in Pakistan were considered for genetic distance. The

detailed information is given in Table 1. In total, 33 specimens collected from the daily fish landing facilities in Pakistan were considered for genetic distance. The detailed information is given in Table 1. The obtained sequences and pictures of the fish are provided in supplementary file 1.

Table 1: GenBank accession number of Nemipteridae family species

| Species | BOLDsystems Voucher no. | Accession no |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| <i>Nemipterus japonicus</i> | MAK-03 | PQ358471 |
| <i>Nemipterus japonicus</i> | MAK-03B | PQ358472 |
| <i>Nemipterus japonicus</i> | MAK-03C | PQ358473 |
| <i>Nemipterus japonicus</i> | MAK-81 | PQ358474 |
| <i>Nemipterus japonicus</i> | MAK-131A | PQ358475 |
| <i>Nemipterus japonicus</i> | MAK-131B | PQ358476 |
| <i>Nemipterus japonicus</i> | MAK-81B | PQ358477 |
| <i>Nemipterus japonicus</i> | MAK-131G | PQ358478 |
| <i>Nemipterus japonicus</i> | MAK-131H | PQ358479 |
| <i>Nemipterus japonicus</i> | MAK-131I | PQ358480 |
| <i>Nemipterus randalli</i> | MAK-130A | PQ359428 |
| <i>Nemipterus randalli</i> | MAK-130B | PQ359429 |
| <i>Nemipterus randalli</i> | MAK-130G | PQ359430 |
| <i>Nemipterus randalli</i> | MAK-130H | PQ359431 |
| <i>Nemipterus randalli</i> | MAK-130I | PQ359432 |
| <i>Parascolopsis aspinosa</i> | MAK-167A | PQ358485 |
| <i>Parascolopsis aspinosa</i> | MAK-167B | PQ358486 |
| <i>Parascolopsis aspinosa</i> | MAK-167C | PQ358487 |
| <i>Parascolopsis aspinosa</i> | MAK-167D | PQ358488 |
| <i>Scolopsis bimaculata</i> | MAK-122A | PQ358919 |
| <i>Scolopsis bimaculata</i> | MAK-122B | PQ358920 |
| <i>Scolopsis bimaculata</i> | MAK-161A | PQ358921 |
| <i>Scolopsis bimaculata</i> | MAK-161B | PQ358922 |
| <i>Scolopsis bimaculata</i> | MAK-161D | PQ358923 |
| <i>Scolopsis bimaculata</i> | MAK-122J | PQ358924 |
| <i>Scolopsis bimaculata</i> | MAK-122K | PQ358925 |
| <i>Scolopsis bimaculata</i> | MAK-122L | PQ358926 |
| <i>Scolopsis vosmeri</i> | MAK-89B | PQ358498 |
| <i>Scolopsis vosmeri</i> | MAK-89C | PQ358499 |
| <i>Scolopsis vosmeri</i> | MAK-96B | PQ358500 |
| <i>Scolopsis vosmeri</i> | MAK-96C | PQ358501 |
| <i>Scolopsis vosmeri</i> | MAK-89 | PQ358502 |
| <i>Scolopsis vosmeri</i> | MAK-96 | PQ358503 |

The results from genetic distance analysis are shown in Figure 2. The genetic distance analysis revealed pairwise distances ranging from 0 (indicating identical sequences, as seen between PQ358472-PQ358473, PQ358475-PQ358476,

PQ358478-PQ358479, and PQ359428-PQ359429, among others) to a maximum divergence of 0.279 between PQ358926 and OQ387335, suggesting substantial genetic differentiation between these samples. Several sequences showed




Figure 3: The accumulation curve for DNA barcoding.




Figure 4: Barcode Gap analysis. (A) Max Intraspecific vs. Nearest Neighbours: the maximum intraspecific distance compared to the nearest neighbour of the species. (B) Mean Intraspecific vs. Nearest Neighbours: the average intraspecific distance in comparison to the distance between nearest neighbours. (C) Individuals per Species: the individual genetic distances between each species, highlighting the variation within and between species.

Table 2: Barcode gap between Nemipteridae species.

| Order | Family | Species | Mean Intra-Sp | Max Intra-Sp | Nearest Species | Nearest Neighbour | Distance to NN |
|-------------|--------------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Spariformes | Nemipteridae | <i>Nemipterus japonicus</i> | 0.59 | 1.13 | <i>Nemipterus randalli</i> | SUFIS951-23 | 15.50 |
| Spariformes | Nemipteridae | <i>Nemipterus randalli</i> | 0.00 | 0.00 | <i>Nemipterus japonicus</i> | SUFIS954-23 | 15.50 |
| Spariformes | Nemipteridae | <i>Parascolopsis aspinosa</i> | 0.00 | 0.00 | <i>Nemipterus japonicus</i> | SUFIS955-23 | 15.72 |
| Spariformes | Nemipteridae | <i>Scolopsis bimaculata</i> | 0.14 | 1.11 | <i>Scolopsis vosmeri</i> | SUFIS277-21 | 18.06 |
| Spariformes | Nemipteridae | <i>Scolopsis vosmeri</i> | 6.93 | 12.80 | <i>Parascolopsis aspinosa</i> | SUFIS590-23 | 16.39 |

Nucleotide diversity

It shows a higher average of AC than the GC content (Table 3). The GC content ranged from 43% to 48%. The codon position 1 exhibited the highest GC content

(up to 57.69%), while other codon positions had various percentages with values ranging from 32.35% to 44.71%.

Table 3: Nucleotide diversity of experimental species.

| A % | C % | G % | T % | GC % | GC %Codon Pos 1 | GC %Codon Pos 2 | GC %Codon Pos 3 |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 23.19749 | 26.80251 | 18.33856 | 31.66144 | 45.14107 | 57.54717 | 43.66197 | 34.2723 |
| 23.00613 | 26.84049 | 17.94479 | 32.20859 | 44.78528 | 57.14286 | 42.85714 | 34.40367 |
| 23.00613 | 26.84049 | 17.94479 | 32.20859 | 44.78528 | 57.14286 | 42.85714 | 34.40367 |
| 23.06502 | 26.47059 | 18.42105 | 32.04334 | 44.89164 | 57.2093 | 43.25581 | 34.25926 |
| 22.53086 | 27.62346 | 19.44444 | 30.40123 | 47.0679 | 56.01852 | 43.05556 | 42.12963 |
| 24.22840 | 25.0000 | 18.98148 | 31.79012 | 43.98148 | 56.01852 | 43.05556 | 32.87037 |
| 22.53086 | 27.62346 | 19.44444 | 30.40123 | 47.0679 | 56.01852 | 43.05556 | 42.12963 |
| 22.37654 | 27.62346 | 19.59877 | 30.40123 | 47.22222 | 56.01852 | 43.05556 | 42.59259 |
| 24.22840 | 25.00000 | 18.98148 | 31.79012 | 43.98148 | 56.01852 | 43.05556 | 32.87037 |
| 24.22840 | 25.00000 | 18.98148 | 31.79012 | 43.98148 | 56.01852 | 43.05556 | 32.87037 |
| 23.83901 | 26.00619 | 19.50464 | 30.65015 | 45.51084 | 55.09259 | 43.25581 | 38.13953 |
| 23.83901 | 26.00619 | 19.50464 | 30.65015 | 45.51084 | 55.09259 | 43.25581 | 38.13953 |
| 23.06502 | 26.16099 | 18.57585 | 32.19814 | 44.73684 | 55.09259 | 43.25581 | 35.81395 |
| 23.06502 | 26.16099 | 18.57585 | 32.19814 | 44.73684 | 55.09259 | 43.25581 | 35.81395 |
| 23.11321 | 26.41509 | 18.23899 | 32.2327 | 44.65409 | 56.60377 | 43.86792 | 33.49057 |
| 23.24493 | 26.52106 | 18.09672 | 32.13729 | 44.61778 | 56.54206 | 43.45794 | 33.80282 |
| 22.88000 | 28.48000 | 20.32000 | 28.32000 | 48.80000 | 57.69231 | 44.01914 | 44.71154 |
| 23.06502 | 26.47059 | 18.42105 | 32.04334 | 44.89164 | 57.20930 | 43.25581 | 34.25926 |
| 23.73418 | 25.94937 | 19.93671 | 30.37975 | 45.88608 | 54.50237 | 44.28571 | 38.86256 |
| 23.61331 | 25.99049 | 19.96830 | 30.42789 | 45.95880 | 54.76190 | 44.28571 | 38.86256 |
| 24.07975 | 28.52761 | 18.40491 | 28.98773 | 46.93252 | 58.52535 | 42.85714 | 39.44954 |
| 24.08875 | 28.05071 | 19.01743 | 28.84311 | 47.06815 | 58.57143 | 44.28571 | 38.38863 |

Table 3 (continued):

| A % | C % | G % | T % | GC % | GC %Codon Pos 1 | GC %Codon Pos 2 | GC %Codon Pos 3 |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 24.09241 | 25.74257 | 20.13201 | 30.03300 | 45.87459 | 54.95050 | 44.05941 | 38.61386 |
| 24.04643 | 25.87065 | 19.9005 | 30.18242 | 45.77114 | 54.72637 | 44.27861 | 38.30846 |
| 24.83660 | 25.65359 | 17.81046 | 31.69935 | 43.46405 | 55.88235 | 42.15686 | 32.35294 |
| 23.70031 | 25.99388 | 19.26606 | 31.03976 | 45.25994 | 55.04587 | 42.66055 | 38.07339 |
| 24.20886 | 25.94937 | 19.46203 | 30.37975 | 45.41139 | 55.2381 | 43.12796 | 37.91469 |
| 23.58491 | 25.78616 | 19.81132 | 30.81761 | 45.59748 | 53.77358 | 44.33962 | 38.67925 |
| 22.78287 | 26.14679 | 18.34862 | 32.72171 | 44.49541 | 55.04587 | 42.66055 | 35.77982 |
| 22.78287 | 26.14679 | 18.34862 | 32.72171 | 44.49541 | 55.04587 | 42.66055 | 35.77982 |
| 22.78287 | 26.14679 | 18.34862 | 32.72171 | 44.49541 | 55.04587 | 42.66055 | 35.77982 |
| 23.08869 | 26.75841 | 17.73700 | 32.41590 | 44.49541 | 56.88073 | 42.66055 | 33.94495 |
| 23.08869 | 26.75841 | 17.73700 | 32.41590 | 44.49541 | 56.88073 | 42.66055 | 33.94495 |
| 23.65079 | 27.14286 | 17.61905 | 31.58730 | 44.76190 | 57.14286 | 42.85714 | 34.28571 |

Phylogenetic analysis

The Phylogenetic tree exhibited significant relationships within the studied species (Fig. 5). As expected, the species (*Lethrinus nebulosus*) formed a distinct clade, showing its function as an outgroup. The *Nemipterus japonicus* and *Nemipterus*

randallii, were clustered under the same clade, *Scolopsis vosmeri* and *Scolopsis bimaculata* were clustered under the same clade, while *Parascolopsis bimaculata* formed a distinct clade.




Figure 5: Phylogenetic relationship of Experimental species. Each species is denoted with a different colour. The text at the end of the nodes represents the GenBank accession number of the species.

Discussion

The largest class of vertebrates is fish, which display an astonishing range of physical characteristics and biological adaptations. Species are often defined by the presence of unchanging, distinctive morphological traits that set them apart from other species. However, fish have several intraspecific invariants and interspecific overlaps, making it difficult for taxonomists to identify fish in rich biotas. For that purpose, scientists considered the DNA barcode, which is truly a helpful tool (Hebert *et al.*, 2003; Ravitchandirane *et al.*, 2012; Shen *et al.*, 2016; Khan *et al.*, 2024; Sial *et al.*, 2024). In the present study, the marine water fish family of Nemipteridae was observed, and DNA barcodes were generated. All the sequences were amplified using a functional mitochondrial COI sequence. Genetic distances were also observed in the study. More specifically, the two species *Nemipterus japonicus* and *Nemipterus randallii* exhibit common morphological characteristics and can lead to misidentification when their tail end is broken or they have lost their body colour. Here we delineated these two species on a molecular basis.

The nucleotide diversity analysis illustrated the distinct biases in the studied taxa. The higher AC content compared to the GC content hints at the distinct selection pressures. It showed a consistent selection of base pairs and the potential selective pressures that may have influenced codons' usage, displaying the potential selective evolutionary dynamics within the studied taxa. The cumulative curve was formed by using the online platform BOLD systems. It

showed the efficacy of DNA barcoding to identify the species within the studied family, Nemipteridae. At the start, the graph showed an inclined line depicting the identification of new species with the addition of sequences. However, upon reaching a maximum value of $X=33$. There was no more incline, and the curve became a plateau. This showed that upon reaching that level, the addition of new sequences was not able to identify the new species. This saturation point illustrated that the sequencing captured the majority of species diversity within the family (Ugland *et al.*, 2003).

Barcode analysis has the power to delineate species boundaries. By using DNA barcodes, the present study shows the importance of DNA barcoding research as a protocol for fish identification (Čandek and Kuntner, 2015). Our study considered the five threadfin bream species, focusing on describing two cryptic species (*Nemipterus Japonicus* and *Nemipterus randallii*). The barcode gap analysis showed a significant genetic gap (15.05%) between these species, delineating cryptic species on a molecular basis and supporting their classification as separate species. *Parascolopsis aspinosa* illustrated a lack of intraspecific variations and showed a high genetic variability of 15% with other neighbor species (*Nemipterus japonicus*). *Scolopsis vosmerii* showed a high intraspecific and significant distance of (16%) from *Parascolopsis aspinosa*, suggesting complex evolutionary dynamics involving cryptic diversity or speciation. The present results demonstrate DNA barcoding effectiveness in delineating the species to resolve the taxonomic

uncertainties and highlight the importance of genetic data in species identification.

The phylogenetic tree based on the COI gene was made, which delineated distinct relationships among the five species. The *Lethrinus nebulosus* was taken as an outgroup that forms a completely separate clade. Notably, *Nemipterus japonicus* and *Nemipterus randallii* were clustered together, depicting their close morphological characters and shared evolutionary relationships within the genus *Nemipterus*. However, we can still differentiate between them based on the phylogenetic tree as they form sister clades. Similarly, *Scolopsis vosmerii* and *Scolopsis bimaculata* formed one clade, illustrating the close evolutionary relationships as they belong to the same genus. *Parascolopsis aspinosa* appeared as a distinct clade, reinforcing its unique phylogenetic position within the family Nemipteridae. The present findings support the monophyly of genera *Nemipterus* and *Scolopsis* (Ning *et al.*, 2015; Hung *et al.*, 2017) and provide valuable insights into the phylogenetic dynamics of these crucial marine fish species.

The use of the COI marker proves that it is a reliable marker for the differentiation among the species. The obtained barcode has been developed by utilizing this marker. Further, different bioinformatics tools were used to clarify that genetic divergence among species is lower as compared to values obtained between different species. The genetic distance keeps on increasing as we move further across the species, family, or order. The current study can be utilized with its wide range of applications in successful

management strategies in the fisheries resources of Pakistan.

Conclusions

The present study revealed that cryptic species can be identified with the use of COI barcoding. The obtained results confirmed that DNA barcoding coupled with morphological identification can be used for the precise and accurate identification of fish species, as we developed the detailed DNA barcode of the Nemipteridae fish family. Here, we delineated the cryptic species *Nemipterus japonicus* and *Nemipterus randallii* for effective fisheries management. Moreover, the inter- and intra-specific divergence between species was also inferred. This will play a great role for the fisheries resources and ecologists, for the fish identification through molecular approaches. The data obtained from this study will provide beneficial knowledge for further research in Pakistan.

Acknowledgements

We are delighted to accomplish the current research with the help of the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan (NRPU-10403). Sequencing work was performed at the Canadian Centre for DNA Barcoding (CCDB), University of Guelph, Canada.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- Amine, A., 2012.** Biology and assessment of the threadfin bream *Nemipterus japonicus* in Gulf of Suez, Egypt. *Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries*, 16(2), 47-57. DOI:10.21608/ejabf.2012.2124
- Čandek, K. and Kuntner, M., 2015.** DNA barcoding gap: reliable species identification over morphological and geographical scales. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 15(2), 268-277. DOI: 10.1111/1755-0998.12304
- Fišer Pečnikar, Ž. and Buzan, E.V., 2014.** 20 years since the introduction of DNA barcoding: from theory to application. *Journal of Applied Genetics*, 55, 43-52. DOI: 10.1007/s13353-013-0180-y
- Hebert, P.D., Cywinska, A., Ball, S.L. and DeWaard, J.R., 2003.** Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 270(1512), 313-321. DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2002.2218
- Helfman, G.S., Collette, B.B., Facey, D.E. and Bowen, B.W., 2009.** The diversity of fishes: biology, evolution, and ecology. *John Wiley and Sons*, USA. 622 P.
- Hung, K.W., Russell, B.C. and Chen, W.J., 2017.** Molecular systematics of threadfin breams and relatives (Teleostei, Nemipteridae). *Zoologica Scripta*, 46(5), 536-551. DOI: 10.1111/zsc.12237
- Kalhor, M.A., Liu, Q., Memon, K.H., Chang, M.S. and Zhang, K., 2014.** Population dynamics of Japanese threadfin bream *Nemipterus japonicus* from Pakistani waters. *Acta Oceanologica Sinica*, 33(10), 49-57. DOI: 10.1007/s13131-014-0401-1
- Khan, A.M., Sial, B., Khan, A.A., Hanif, M.K., Raza, M., Panhwar, S.K. and Ashfaq, M., 2024.** Genetic diversity and DNA barcoding of Cyprinidae habiting lotic and lentic ecosystem of Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 61(2). DOI:10.21162/PAKJAS/24.263
- Martinez, A.S., Willoughby, J.R. and Christie, M.R., 2018.** Genetic diversity in fishes is influenced by habitat type and life-history variation. *Ecology and Evolution*, 8(23), 12022-12031. DOI: 10.1002/ece3.4661
- Ning, P., Sha, Z., Hebert, P.D. and Russell, B., 2015.** The taxonomic status of Japanese threadfin bream *Nemipterus japonicus* (Bloch, 1791)(Perciformes: Nemipteridae) with a redescription of this species from the south china sea based on morphology and DNA barcodes. *Journal of Ocean University of China*, 14, 178-184. DOI: 10.1007/s11802-015-2609-x
- Psomadakis, P.N., 2015.** Field identification guide to the living marine resources of Pakistan. FAO, Italy. 382 P.
- Ratnasingham, S. and Hebert, P.D.N., 2007.** BOLD: the Barcode of Life Data System (<http://www.barcodinglife.org>). *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 7, 355-364. DOI: 10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01678.x
- Ravitchandirane, V., Geetha, V., Ramya, V., Janifer, B., Thangaraj, M., Subburaj, J. and Ganesan, T., 2012.** Molecular identification and phylogenetic relationships of Threadfin Breams (Family: Nemipteridae) using

- mtDNA marker. *Notulae Scientia Biologicae*, 4(2), 13-18. DOI:10.15835/nsb427602
- Raza, M., Khan, A.M., Khan, A.A., Bukhari, S.A.R., Panhwar, S.K. and Ashfaq, M., 2025.** Genetic diversity of nearly threatened and vulnerable species of Serranidae fish family from the coastal area of Pakistan. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Biodiversity*. In-press. DOI: 10.1016/j.japb.2025.01.01
- Russell, B.C., 1990.** FAO species catalogue. Vol. 12. Nemipterid fishes of the world. (Threadfin breams, Whiptailbreams, Monocle breams, Dwarf monocle breams, and Coral breams). Family Nemipteridae. An annotated and illustrated catalogue of Nemipterid species known to date. FAO Fisheries Synopsis. FAO, Rome. 12(125), 149.
- Shen, Y., Guan, L., Wang, D. and Gan, X., 2016.** DNA barcoding and evaluation of genetic diversity in Cyprinidae fish in the midstream of the Yangtze River. *Ecology and evolution*, 6(9), 2702-2713. DOI: 10.1002/ece3.2060
- Sial, B., Khan, A.M., Raza, M., Khan, A.A., Bukhari, S.A.R., Hanif, M.K. and Ashfaq, M., 2024.** Northern Arabian Sea: Rare Fish Diversity and Biogeographic Affinities. *Punjab University Journal of Zoology*, 39(2), 191-212. DOI: 10.17582/journal.pujz/2024/39.2.191.2
- Ugland, K.I., Gray, J.S. and Ellingsen, K.E., 2003.** The species-accumulation curve and estimation of species richness. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 72(5), 888-897. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2656.2003.00748.x
- Vohra, P. and Khera, K.S., 2013.** DNA barcoding: current advances and future prospects-a review. *Asian Journal of Biological and Life Sciences*, 2(3). https://www.ajbls.com/sites/default/files/AsianJBiolLifeSci_2_3_185.pdf.
- Ward, R.D., Zemlac, T.C., Innes, B.H., Last, P.R. and Hebert, P.D.N., 2005.** DNA bar-coding Australia's fish species. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 360, 1847-1857. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2005.1716
- Wu, C.C., Weng, J.S., Liu, K.M. and Su, W.C., 2008.** Reproductive biology of the notchedfin threadfin bream, *Nemipterus peronii* (Nemipteridae), in waters of southwestern Taiwan. *Zoological Studies-Taipei*, 47(1), 103.